MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER SUPPLY PROJECT UPDATE






MPWSP Governance Committee Meeting July 18, 2018



MPWSP ANTICIPATED SCHEDULE




Status Update Pipeline and Pump Station




ASR 5 & 6 RFPs


ASR Wells 5 & 6 RFPs

- ASR Well Drilling
 - Design Bid Build (DBB)
- ASR Well Above Ground Facilities
 - Design Build (DB)





ASR Wells 5 & 6 Anticipated Schedule



GC Review of RFPs

Execution Copy – As Revised November 5, 2013

- 1. Prior to the issuance of a request for qualifications, request for proposals, or request for bids, as applicable, relating to the procurement of a Contract, the Governance Committee may recommend qualifications and selection criteria for such Contract.
- 2. Prior to the execution of any Contract not executed on or before the date that is thirty (30) calendar days after the effective date of this Agreement, and upon presentation and recommendation by Cal-Am to the Governance Committee after Cal-Am has reviewed and evaluated proposals or bids, as applicable, and negotiated with the contractor a Contract that, in the opinion of Cal-Am, is ready for execution by and between Cal-Am and the contractor, the Governance Committee may recommend which contractor should be retained under the Contract, and issue any recommendations concerning the terms of the final Contract. When presenting a Contract to the Governance Committee for its consideration and recommendation, Cal-Am shall provide to the Governance Committee a copy of all responsive proposals or bids received for the pertinent work, except for any proprietary information provided by contractors submitting responsive proposals or bids, together with a written description of the process Cal-Am undertook to select a recommended Contractor, a summary of the considerations that Cal-Am deems pertinent to support its recommendation, and any other information that Cal-Am believes will assist the Governance Committee in its review of the recommended Contract and contractor.

ASR Wells 5 & 6 RFP Evaluation Criteria

5.2.1. Weighting of Evaluation Criteria

The specific weighting for each evaluation criterion is as follows:

Technical Criteria

40 points

- Project Delivery (including the WMDVBE Utilization Plan and the Local Resources Utilization Plan)
- Safety
- Construction Management
- Quality Control
- Schedule


Business and Financial Criteria

60 points

- Cost Effectiveness of Proposal
- Business Terms and Conditions

Additional future RFPs

- Castroville Pipeline
- Satellite system interconnect pipelines
- Slant Wells Civil & Electrical Work



Q & A





