FINAL MINUTES Regular Meeting Board of Directors Monterey Peninsula Water Management District July 18, 2011

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m. in the District Conference Room.

CALL TO ORDER/ROLL CALL

Directors Present:
Robert S. Brower, Sr., Chair -- Division 5
Dave Potter, Vice Chair -- Monterey County Board of Supervisors
Brenda Lewis -- Division 1
Judi Lehman -- Division 2
Kristi Markey -- Division 3
Regina Doyle -- Division 4
David Pendergrass -- Mayoral Representative

Directors Absent: None

General Manager present: Darby Fuerst

District Counsel present: David Laredo

The assembly recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Roland Martin, a resident of Del Mesa, addressed the Board during Oral Communications. He thanked the Board for setting time on the agenda to receive a presentation from WaterPlus on a proposal for public purchase of California American Water's Monterey Division. He stated that the estimated cost of \$6,000 to \$8,000 per acre-foot for desalinated water is absurdly broad and does not reflect the actual retail rate.

On a motion by Director Potter and second of Director Doyle, the Consent Calendar was adopted with the exception of items 7 and 8 that were pulled for separate consideration. The motion was adopted unanimously on a vote of 7-0.

Approved.

Approved.

Approved.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

CONSENT CALENDAR

- 1. Consider Adoption of Minutes of the June 20, 2011 Regular Board Meeting
- 2. Ratify Amendments to Board Committee Assignments
- 3. Appoint Suresh Prasad to the Position of Board Treasurer

Approved.		4.	Receive Phase 1 Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project Water Year 2010 Summary Report (Water Project 1)
Approved.		5.	Receive Notice of Appointments to Carmel River Advisory Committee
Approved.		6.	Consider Approval of 2011 Annual Memorandum of Agreement for Releases from San Clemente Reservoir among California American Water, California Department of Fish and Game, and Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
Item 7 – On a motion by Director In Director Doyle, the contract for a rowas adopted on a vote of 5 – 2. Di Doyle, Pendergrass, and Markey vomotion. Directors Lehman and Le	new General Manager irectors Brower, Potter, oted in favor of the	7.	Consider Approval of Contract for New General Manager
Item 8 – On a motion by Director I of Director Potter, the Board approexpense reimbursement. The motivote of 5 – 2. Directors Brower, Pand Markey supported the motion. Lewis were opposed.	oved the modified travel on was approved on a otter, Doyle, Pendergrass	8.	Request Approval of Funds to Reimburse General Manager Finalists for Interview Travel Expenses
Approved.		9.	Consider Authorization of a Limited-Term Contract with the Current General Manager after his Official Retirement
Approved.		10.	Consider Adoption of Side Letter Agreements Amending the Memorandums of Understanding Between the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District and the General, Management, and Confidential Staff Bargaining Units
Approved.		11.	Authorize a Change to the District Organization Chart Adding a Community Relations Liaison Position and Changing the Project
			Manager/Public Information Representative Position's Title to Project Manager
Approved.		12.	Consider Expenditure of Budgeted Funds for Information Technology Infrastructure Update

Approved.

Approved.

Approved.

Approved.

Approved.

Darby Fuerst, General Manager, gave the presentation. A summary is on file at the District office and can be viewed on the District's website.

Henrietta Stern, Project Manager, presented the report. A summary is on file at the District office and can be viewed on the District's website.

Darby Fuerst, General Manager, presented the report. An outline of the presentation is on file at the District office and can be viewed at the District's website. Mr. Fuerst reported that for the period ending June 30, 2011, Cal-Am production from the Carmel River Basin was 776 acre-feet under the production target of 6,300 acre-feet established as a result of State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) Order 2009-0060. Production from the Coastal Subareas of the Seaside Basin was 211 acre-feet below the production target of 1,720 acre-feet. Production from the Laguna Seca Subarea was 98 acre-feet over the production target of 158 acre-feet established by the Seaside Groundwater Basin Adjudication. Production from the City of Sand City Desalination plant was 206 acre-feet, which was 19 acre-feet below the production target. Total Cal-Am production from the Monterey Peninsula Water Resources System was 8,606 acre-feet, which is 908 acrefeet below the target of 9,514 acre-feet.

- 13. Consider Expenditure of Budgeted Funds to Amend Contract with Pueblo Water Resources to Provide Hydrogeologic Review for Water Distribution System Permits
- 14. Consider Expenditure of Budgeted Funds to Amend Contract with Golden State Planning and Environmental Consulting to Assist with Water Distribution System Permit Program
- 15. Authorize Expenditure of Budgeted Funds for Electrical Installation Work at Phase 1 Aquifer Storage and Recovery Site (Water Project 1)
- 16. Authorize Expenditure of Budgeted Funds for Completion of Interim Facilities at Phase 2 Aquifer Storage and Recovery Site (Water Project 2)
- 17. Consider Adoption of Treasurer's Report for May 2011

PRESENTATIONS

- 18. Water Conservation Program Report
- 19. Update on Development of Water Supply Project Alternatives Including Recycled Water

GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT

20. Status Report on California
American Water Compliance with
State Water Resources Control
Board Order 2009-0060 and
Seaside Groundwater Basin
Adjudication Decision

District Counsel Laredo reported that the Board met in closed session on June 23, 2011 to discuss public employment of a new general manager. A status report was provided to the Board. No reportable action was taken. At the July 18, 2011, 5:30 pm closed session, District Counsel provided a status report regarding items listed on the meeting agenda. No reportable action was taken.

ATTORNEY'S REPORT

- 21. Report on June 23, 2011 and July 18, 2011, 5:30 pm Closed Sessions of the Board
- 3. Conference with Legal Counsel –
 Existing Litigation (Gov. Code 54956.9
 (a))
 - A. Application of California
 American Water Company to
 CPUC Application No.10-01012 –Mitigation Cost
 Reimbursement
 - B. MPWMD v. State Water
 Resources Control Board;
 Superior Court Case No. 1-10-CV-163328
 - Sierra Club v. MPWMD,
 Security National Guaranty &
 California American Water,
 Real Parties (Superior Court
 Case No. M 108149)
 - D. Application of California
 American Water Company to
 CPUC, Application No. 10-07 007 General Rate Case
 - E. Application of California
 American Water Company to
 CPUC, Application No. 10-09018-San Clemente Dam
 Removal
- 4. Public Employment, Position of General Manager (Gov. Code 56957)
- 5. Conference with Labor Negotiators (Gov. Code 54957.6)
 Agency Designated Representative:
 Darby Fuerst
 Employee Organization: General
 Staff and Management Bargaining
 Units Represented by United Public
 Employees of California/LIUNA,
 Local 792
 Unrepresented Employees:
 Confidential Unit

DIRECTORS' REPORTS (INCLUDING AB 1234 REPORTS ON TRIPS, CONFERENCE ATTENDANCE AND MEETINGS)

22. Oral Report on Activities of County, Cities, Other Agencies/Committees/ Associations

Director Lehman reported that the Special Districts Association of Monterey County was scheduled to meet on July 19, 2011. She noted that the California Special Districts Association annual conference was scheduled on October 10 through 13, 2011 at the Monterey Marriott. Director Doyle announced that she gave a presentation to the League of Women Voters on July 14, 2011 regarding general water issues. Director Markey stated that she participated on a panel with George Riley and Ron Weitzman regarding the history of the MPWMD, water project development and the proposed public purchase of Cal-Am.

Director Doyle offered a motion that was seconded by Director Lehman that the Board: (1) approve the Analysis of 2010 Census Data; (2) determine that director division populations are balanced with a 9.2% deviation, and (3) specify that the MPWMD will continue to use its existing director division boundaries. The motion was approved unanimously on a vote of 7-0.

The following comments were directed to the Board during the public comment period on this item. (1) George Riley asked why there are voters in Division 1 that are served by the Marina Coast Water District. (2) Helen Rucker asked if future population growth in voter division 1 was considered in the determination of population equity between divisions. Lapkoff responded that the actual 2010 census numbers must be considered when developing voter division boundaries. If voter division boundaries were realigned, Division 1 could be reduced in size to allow for future housing growth, but the voter division populations are within the 10% deviation guideline so it is prudent to maintain the existing boundaries. (3) Bill Hood, former director of the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, asked how part-time residents within the MPWMD boundary were counted in the 2010 census. Lapkoff responded that each person is responsible to report residency status on the census form, whether it be within the MPWMD boundary or in another city outside of the area.

Following the presentation by WaterPlus representatives, the Board expressed an interest in formation of an ad-hoc subcommittee to analyze the cost for public ownership of Cal-Am's Monterey District, describe governance for a publicly owned utility, and define the public utility's scope of responsibility. District staff was directed to bring forward for consideration at a future meeting a plan for formation of a subcommittee, with an option that would include membership of directors. A list of parties that could be considered for membership on the committee should also be developed. The directors offered suggestions for the scope of the committee's analysis including: cost to purchase Cal-Am's Monterey District;

PUBLIC HEARINGS – No Public Hearings were Submitted for Board Review

ACTION ITEMS

23. Receive Report from Lapkoff & Gobalet on Analysis of 2010 Census Data as it Relates to Current Voter Division Boundaries

DISCUSSION ITEMS

24. Workshop on Proposal by Water Plus for Public Ownership of California American Water timeline to complete the eminent domain process; cost cap that ratepayers would accept over a defined time period; Cal-Am's contractual obligations that would be transferred to a publicly owned utility; a governance structure(s); scope of responsibility for the publicly owned utility; and (7) how the purchase of Cal-Am would impact the proposed Monterey Regional Water Supply Project.

A summary of the presentation given by Ron Weitzman, President of WaterPlus, is on file at the District office and can be viewed on the District's website. Harvey Billig, Vice President of WaterPlus, addressed the Board and suggested that a subcommittee be formed to evaluate the proposal for public ownership of Cal-Am. George Schroeder also spoke to the Board on behalf of WaterPlus regarding eminent domain. George Riley, representing Citizens for Public Water, spoke in support of the MPWMD taking action to study the WaterPlus proposal due to the estimated cost savings that could be achieved through public ownership of the water system.

The following comments were directed to the Board during the public comment period on this item. (1) Bill Hood asked if the District has the authority to operate a facility that is not within the MPWMD boundaries. (2) Andy Clark suggested that WaterPlus should pay for the District to conduct an independent analysis of the cost to purchase Cal-Am. He stated that the tax payers should not be responsible to pay for that analysis. (3) Kevin Tilden, Vice President of Cal-Am stated that Cal-Am's Monterey District is not for sale. He offered to meet with persons that have legitimate concerns or suggestions about how the local water distribution system operations can be improved. He noted that when the San Lorenzo Valley Water District purchased Cal-Am facilities, the cost was ultimately much higher than the \$9 million bond measure the voters approved. The actual cost was approximately \$13.5 million. (4) Roger Dolan stated that public ownership of water is not the problem. The real issue is lack of a water supply. The focus should be on creation of a water supply and then consider public ownership. (5) Dan Presser stated that the issue of public financing should be brought to the voters, even if it was rejected by the electorate in the past.

There was no discussion of the Informational Items/Staff Reports.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/STAFF REPORTS

- 25. Letters Received
- 26. Committee Reports
- 27. Carmel River Fishery Report for June 2011
- 28. Water Conservation Program Report
- 29. Monthly Allocation Report
- 30. Monthly California American Water Production Report

- 31. Monthly Water Supply Status Report
- 32. Quarterly Water Supply Project Status Report
- 33. Quarterly Irrigation Program and Riparian Projects Report
- 34. Quarterly Carmel River Erosion
 Protection and Restoration Projects
 Report
- 35. Quarterly Water Use Credit Transfer Status Report

The meeting was adjourned at 9:05 pm.

ADJOURNMENT

Darby Fuerst, Secretary to the Board

U:\Arlene\word\2011\BoardMeetings\Minutes\Minutes\FINAL20110718.docx