Director Lindstrom proposed a motion that was seconded by Director Henson, to approve the staff recommendation to deny the variance request, and to adopt the Findings of Denial. District staff was directed to work with the applicant on developing a plan to obtain the necessary number of water credits through retrofitting and other conservation measures. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4 – 2. Directors Edwards, Henson, Lehman and Lindstrom voted in favor of this motion. Directors Erickson and Potter voted against the motion.

The following comments were directed to the Board during the public hearing on this item. (1) Bruce Zanetta_corrected a statement made on page 200 of the Board packet, paragraph2, second sentence which states "the applicant did not pay a connection charge...." Mr. Zanetta stated that he did pay a fee of \$2,045. 76 to the City of Monterey for the purchase of the water credit to install the 6 showerheads. He submitted verification of payment for Board review. (2) Therese Beauclair, stated that the option of obtaining the necessary water credits for their expansion through water conservation measures was not acceptable. She and Mr. Zanetta paid the City of Monterey for the water credits that allowed installation of the showerheads. Those credits should be available for use on the property for their remodel. (2) David Dilworth, representing HOPE, suggested that if the District measured water use in gallons, instead of fixture unites, this issue would not be under consideration. He proposed that historical water use be based on actual gallons of water consumed. (3) Marc Béïque urged the Board to "have a little sympathy" for the applicant. He stated that the applicant was placed at a disadvantage because the District does not notify property owners when rules are modified.

The meeting was recessed at 9:10 PM and reconvened at 9:25 PM

Director Erickson offered a motion that was seconded by Director Lehman to continue this item to the next Board meeting with public comment to be limited to any changes provided.

Director Henson offered an amendment that this item be referred to the June 19, 2003 Water Demand Committee for review. Director Erickson accepted the amendment to her motion. The motion and amendment were adopted by the Board on a vote of 6 – 0. Directors Edwards, Erickson, Henson, Lehman, Lindstrom and Potter voted in favor of the motion. Director Pendergrass was absent.

During the public hearing on this item Robert Greenwood spoke on behalf of Carmel Valley Association. He expressed objection to any extension of the Cañada Woods Water Distribution System permit because it would substantially increase demand on the Carmel River aquifer. He was also

19. Consider Variance
Request from District
Rules 24-C, Table 1:
Residential Fixture Unit
Count – 69 Via Cimarron,
Monterey, CA 93940 –
APN 001-462-005 –
Applicants Bruce Zanetta
and Theresa Beauclair

20. Consider Application to Amend Cañada Woods Water Distribution System Permit

opposed to the addition of any new interties with California-American Water Company. (2) Marc Béique, a resident of Monterey, asked who owned the water rights for the nonalluvial wells. He suggested that the District's riverbank restoration projects be revegetated with plants that are native to this climate and do not require irrigation. (3) David Dilworth, representing HOPE, stated that the proposed Conditions of Approval should be supported with enough evidence so that they could be made a "function of the deed." (4) Michael Waxer, representing Cañada Woods, expressed support for the staff recommendation. He stated that the application was consistent with modifications made by the State Water Resources Control Board to the Cañada Woods permit. He stated that there is no request for an intertie. Mr. Waxer noted that the applicant does support the staff recommendation regarding maintenance of a riverbank irrigation program. Mr. Waxer responded to questions from the Directors.

20. Consider Application to Amend Canada Woods Water Distribut8ion System Permit

The item was continued to the July 21, 2003 Board meeting.

The item was continued to the July 21, 2003 Board meeting, and referred to the June 19, 2003 Water Demand Committee meeting for review and discussion.

This item was continued to the July 21, 2003 Board meeting.

There was no discussion of these items.

- 21. Consider Adoption of July through September 2003 Quarterly Water Supply Strategy and Budget
- 22. Consider Draft
 Amendments to
 Memorandum of
 Agreement Regarding
 Management of the
 Seaside Groundwater
 Basin Between the
 MPWMD, Monterey
 County Water Resources
 Agency, and the Pajaro
 Valley Water
 Management Agency

ACTION ITEMS

23. Receive Comments on Draft Carmel River Threshold Report

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/STAFF REPORTS

- 24. Letters Received
- 25. Committee Reports
- 26. Strategic Planning Initiatives Progress Report
- 27. Monthly Water Supply Project Status Report
- 28. Carmel River Fishery Report
- 29. Water Conservation Program Report