EXHIBIT 10-D

Valley, poke in support of the resolution. She expressed a preference for the term "interested parties" and said that she becomes a stakeholder whenever a term is taken from the Carmel River and transferred into the Seaside and (3) Termin spoke in support of the resolution (1) David Dilwo. Tepresenting HOPE, expressed support for the resolution.

This item was considered at 8:15 PM. All Directors were present for this item.

Director Potter proposed a motion to return this issue to the Policy and Technical Advisory committees and request that they make a recommendation to the Board on the questions raised in the staff report: (a) how much water, if any, should be considered for allocation; (b) what formula should be used to determine each jurisdiction's share; (c) should an MPWMD Community Reserve be established; and (d) should the U.S. military be added as new jurisdiction. Director Knight seconded the motion. It was adopted unanimously on a vote of 7-0.

The following comments were directed to the Board during the public comment period on this item. (1) Ron Chesshire, a resident of Monterey, suggested that the District seek an opinion from the SWRCB as to whether or not the District should move ahead on making additional water available for allocation to the jurisdictions. (2) Robert Greenwood, representing the Carmel Valley Association, urged the Board to prepare an update to the Water Allocation Program EIR before any decisions are made regarding development of new water sources. (3) Roy Thomas, President of the Carmel River Steelhead Association, encouraged the District to enhance the Carmel River Lagoon habitat during low flow periods by supplementing the lagoon with reclaimed wastewater. According to Mr. Thomas, this protective measure would also guard against the advancement of seawater intrusion into groundwater resources. (4) Patricia Bernardi, a resident of Carmel Valley, stated that the ASR project should be part of a long-term integrated plan rather than a "quick fix." (5) David Dilworth noted that the Pebble Beach Company was reimbursed for its investment in development of the Carmel Area Wastewater District/Pebble Beach Community Services District Wastewater Reclamation Project. Mr. Dilworth asked if Cal-Am should be allowed to profit from the delivery of water it produces in excess of its permitted water right.

At the direction of Chair Edwards, this item was energed to the Water Demand Committee to review the preliminary draft version of Ordinance No. 117 and consider incorporating safeguards from Ordinance No. 101. The amended ordinance would be submitted to the Board at the April 12 or May 17, 2004 meeting.

ETION ITEMS

- 19. Consider Adoption of a
 Resolution Declaring the
 District's Intent to Prepare a
 Groundwater Management
 Plan for the Seaside
 Groundwater Basin and
 Adopting a Statement of
 Public Participation
- 20. Discussion of Near Term
 Water Supply Concepts,
 Including Reclamation

21. Biscuss Water Credit
Transfer Program and
Provide Direction to Staff