

**Monterey County Water Resources Agency / California American Water**  
 Prepared for  
**Monterey Peninsula Water Management District**  
**Questions and Responses**

Questions	Responses																																																												
<p><b>1</b></p> <p>Proposed Project –                      a. Please describe the facilities required for your proposed project</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Coastal Water Project</b></p> <p>Proposed Project –                      a. The proposed project will deliver 20,272 acre-feet per year (AFY) of potable water and consist of 1) Desalination Plant, 2) Desalinated water conveyance facilities, and 3) Aquifer storage and recovery facilities (ASR). The following is a summary of project facilities:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Facility</th> <th style="width: 20%;">Quantity</th> <th style="width: 40%;">Size / Characteristics</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Desalination Plant</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Raw Water Pipeline</td> <td>7,000 LF</td> <td>60-inch diameter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Return Flow Pipeline</td> <td>7,000 LF</td> <td>36-inch diameter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Equalization Basin</td> <td>1</td> <td>7 MG</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Plant Inlet Pump Station</td> <td>1</td> <td>42 mgd, 30-ft. TDH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Pre-treatment Process</td> <td>1</td> <td>42 mgd, immersed microfiltration with coagulation/flocculation</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Reverse Osmosis Process</td> <td>1</td> <td>18 mgd, single pass, single stage spiralwound membranes with energy recovery on concentrate stream</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Post-Treatment Processes</td> <td>1</td> <td>Lime and carbon dioxide</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>Desalinated Water Conveyance</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Clear Well</td> <td>2</td> <td>2.5 MG</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Desalinated Water Pump Station</td> <td>1</td> <td>12,600 gpm, 550 ft. TDH</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Desalinated Water Pipeline</td> <td>98,000 LF</td> <td>36-inch diameter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Terminal Reservoir</td> <td>2</td> <td>5 MG</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Terminal Reservoir Pump Station</td> <td>1</td> <td>7,800 gpm</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3"><b>ASR Systems</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td>ASR Pipeline</td> <td>5,000 LF</td> <td>30-inch diameter</td> </tr> <tr> <td>ASR Wells</td> <td>3</td> <td>800 ft. depth, 6.3 mgd injection, 12.9 mgd recovery</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Segunda PS Expansion</td> <td>1</td> <td>Expand from 5,900 gpm to 9,400 gpm</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Segunda Pipeline</td> <td>26,500 LF</td> <td>30-inch diameter</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Facility	Quantity	Size / Characteristics	<b>Desalination Plant</b>			Raw Water Pipeline	7,000 LF	60-inch diameter	Return Flow Pipeline	7,000 LF	36-inch diameter	Equalization Basin	1	7 MG	Plant Inlet Pump Station	1	42 mgd, 30-ft. TDH	Pre-treatment Process	1	42 mgd, immersed microfiltration with coagulation/flocculation	Reverse Osmosis Process	1	18 mgd, single pass, single stage spiralwound membranes with energy recovery on concentrate stream	Post-Treatment Processes	1	Lime and carbon dioxide	<b>Desalinated Water Conveyance</b>			Clear Well	2	2.5 MG	Desalinated Water Pump Station	1	12,600 gpm, 550 ft. TDH	Desalinated Water Pipeline	98,000 LF	36-inch diameter	Terminal Reservoir	2	5 MG	Terminal Reservoir Pump Station	1	7,800 gpm	<b>ASR Systems</b>			ASR Pipeline	5,000 LF	30-inch diameter	ASR Wells	3	800 ft. depth, 6.3 mgd injection, 12.9 mgd recovery	Segunda PS Expansion	1	Expand from 5,900 gpm to 9,400 gpm	Segunda Pipeline	26,500 LF	30-inch diameter
Facility	Quantity	Size / Characteristics																																																											
<b>Desalination Plant</b>																																																													
Raw Water Pipeline	7,000 LF	60-inch diameter																																																											
Return Flow Pipeline	7,000 LF	36-inch diameter																																																											
Equalization Basin	1	7 MG																																																											
Plant Inlet Pump Station	1	42 mgd, 30-ft. TDH																																																											
Pre-treatment Process	1	42 mgd, immersed microfiltration with coagulation/flocculation																																																											
Reverse Osmosis Process	1	18 mgd, single pass, single stage spiralwound membranes with energy recovery on concentrate stream																																																											
Post-Treatment Processes	1	Lime and carbon dioxide																																																											
<b>Desalinated Water Conveyance</b>																																																													
Clear Well	2	2.5 MG																																																											
Desalinated Water Pump Station	1	12,600 gpm, 550 ft. TDH																																																											
Desalinated Water Pipeline	98,000 LF	36-inch diameter																																																											
Terminal Reservoir	2	5 MG																																																											
Terminal Reservoir Pump Station	1	7,800 gpm																																																											
<b>ASR Systems</b>																																																													
ASR Pipeline	5,000 LF	30-inch diameter																																																											
ASR Wells	3	800 ft. depth, 6.3 mgd injection, 12.9 mgd recovery																																																											
Segunda PS Expansion	1	Expand from 5,900 gpm to 9,400 gpm																																																											
Segunda Pipeline	26,500 LF	30-inch diameter																																																											

- Project Capacity –**  
 What is the proposed project capacity in acre-feet per year?  
 a. What agencies/cities will receive water from the project?  
 b. Will your project meet the requirements of State Water Resources Control Board, Order 95-10, for 10,730 AFY of Carmel River replacement water?  
 c. What is the basis of other project capacity components?  
 d. What areas of Monterey County will be served by the CWP?  
 e.

**Project Capacity –**

- a. The proposed project capacity is 20,272 AFY  
 b. The following table breaks down the proposed supplies per agency:

Table 2-1

Component	Description	Demand (AFY)
<b>Coastal Water Project/Title</b>		
1	Carmel River Replacement - CAW	10,730
2	Seaside Aquifer Replacement - CAW	1,000
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>11,730</b>
<b>Additional Elements from MPWMD</b>		
	City of Monterey	766
	City of Seaside	406
	City of Carmel-by-the-Sea	405
	City of Sand City	300
	City of Pacific Grove	531
	City of Del Rey Oaks	197
	Unincorporated Areas of County	893
	Monterey Peninsula Airport District	74
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>3,572</b>
<b>Potential Water for Communities</b>		
<b>Marina Coast Water District</b>		
	Moss Landing, North County & Castroville	2,400
	Moss Landing	70
	North County	1,500
	Castroville Water District	1,000
	<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>5,370</b>
	<b>Total</b>	<b>20,272</b>

- c. Yes  
 d. The CWP components include five components as outlined in Table 2-1, and are based on the following:

Component 1: Carmel River Replacement per Order 95-10

Component 2: Seaside Aquifer Overdraft replacement water

Component 3: Monterey County Water Resources Agency (MCWRA) has coordinated with Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) to obtain additional potable water supplies required for areas within California American Water (CAW) service areas. This data was provided by MPWMD to MCWRA in a letter dated December 12, 2003.

Component 4: MCWRA has coordinated with Marina Coast Water District (MCWD) for this component. MCWD will need additional supplies for the redevelopment of the former Fort Ord which includes residences, mixed use commercial, retail and open space, institutional, and public facilities. Presently, water used on the former Fort Ord is supplied by wells pumping from the 180-foot and 400-foot aquifer portions of the Salinas Valley Groundwater Basin. The amount of basin groundwater available to the former Fort Ord is presently limited to 6,600 AFY pursuant to the Annexation

<p>Agreement of Fort Ord into Zones 2 and 2A of the Monterey County Water Resources Agency (MCWRA). The Fort Ord Reuse Plan (FORP) anticipates an additional 2,400 AFY of water needed to satisfy future development plans. This supply amount of 2,400 AFY is included as part of the CWP. Component 5: The supplies of northern Counties have also been coordinated by MCWRA and include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Moss Landing</li> <li>▪ Castroville</li> <li>▪ North County</li> </ul> <p><b>Moss Landing.</b> The Moss Landing community currently utilizes a demand of 70 AFY for potable water. Seawater intrusion impacting potable water quality may necessitate a replacement water source.</p> <p><b>Castroville.</b> Current population estimates for Castroville are approximately 2,000 people. The community of Castroville is served by Castroville Water District with current annual demands of about 1,000 AFY. The water quality of existing wells in the service area continues to degrade from seawater intrusion and is the basis for 1,000 AFY estimate.</p> <p><b>North County.</b> A supply of 1,500 AFY be utilized as a result of overdraft of the highlands south and Granite Ridge sub-areas of North County Hydrologic Study area.</p> <p>The areas are identified in Question 2 d. and are shown in attached Figure.</p>	<p><b>Project Ownership –</b></p> <p>a. Who are the principal company/agencies in charge of the CWP?  b. What is status of project agreements between project partners?  c. Who will ultimately own the project facilities?</p> <p><b>Schedule –</b></p> <p>a. When did you hire consultants to commence on the engineering aspects of project?  b. When did you hire a consultant to begin environmental studies and environmental process?  c. Have you prepared a detailed schedule?  d. What is your proposed schedule to delivery potable drinking water?  e. What are the key schedule milestones?  f. Who are the key permitting/regulating agencies who must review or approve your project and when do you plan to apply for approval from each?  g. Have you reviewed your schedule with key agencies?</p>
<p><b>3</b></p> <p><b>Project Ownership –</b></p> <p>a. Who are the principal company/agencies in charge of the CWP?  b. What is status of project agreements between project partners?  c. Who will ultimately own the project facilities?</p>	<p><b>Project Ownership –</b></p> <p>a. MCWRA and California American Water are partnering to complete the CWP.  b. A Letter of Intent entitled: Letter of Intent to Investigate the Opportunity for a County Water Supply Project and to Investigate a Partnership Between the Monterey County Water Resources Agency and California American Water Company as an appropriate means to implement a County Water Supply Project, was approved by the Monterey County Board of Supervisors and CAW in March 2004. An Interim Agreement between MCWRA and CAW is currently being reviewed by Board of Supervisors and CAW. This would: Form a Public-Private Partnership for the Purpose of Defining Financing Alternatives for the Coastal Water Project.  c. Ultimately, the Desalination Plant and Regalinated Water Conveyance Facilities would be owned by MCWRA. The ASR Facilities would be owned by CAW.</p> <p><b>Schedule –</b></p> <p>a. The CWP engineering consultants began work on the preliminary design phase of the project in March 2004.  b. The environmental phase of the project also began in March 2004.  c. Yes – see attached schedule.  d. It is anticipated that potable water can be delivered by the end of 2008.  e. Key milestones that include:  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Collection and EIR Environmental process; first quarter of 2006</li> <li>▪ Coastal Development Permit; end of 2006</li> </ul> f. The key permitting agencies are shown in the figure below, with key dates identified:</p> <p><b>g.</b> Yes, the schedule was reviewed with over 22 agencies at a Permit Coordination Center workshop held in Marina on July 15, 2004. It was determined that the proposed project schedule was realistic.</p>

- 5** Permitting / Regulatory Approvals and Environmental Processing –
- Who is the lead agency for the project?
  - What is the environmental process you propose? Will your process include an Environmental Impact Report?
  - Please identify the permitting/regulatory agencies who will review and/or approve your project.

Permitting / Regulatory Approvals and Environmental Processing –

- California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).
- The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is the lead agency for the Coastal Water Project (CWP). California American Water (CAW), as the project proponent and a private water agency, must follow the environmental regulations of the CPUC. These regulations require CAW to prepare an environmental assessment. CAW, as the project proponent, shall include with their application a project environmental assessment, referred to hereafter as the Proponent's Environmental Assessment (PEA). The PEA is intended to be the means by which the CPUC can quickly focus upon specific environmental impacts of a project. Where the CPUC is the Lead Agency under CEQA, the PEA may be used as an aid to preparing the CPUC's Initial Study to determine whether to prepare a Negative Declaration or require an Environment Impact Report (EIR). The PEA, once reviewed, corrected, amended, and then independently evaluated and analyzed by the CPUC staff may become the CPUC's Draft EIR.
- The following is a list of anticipated agencies:

Examples of Local Agency Approvals

AGENCY	PERMIT
Monterey County Department of Environmental Health (MCHEH)	Well Permit
Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD)	Distribution System Expansion
County of Monterey, Cities of Monterey, Seaside, Marina, Del Rey Oaks, Sand City, Pacific Grove, & Carmel	Encroachment & Construction Permits
Mariposa County Water District (MCWD) & San Joaquin Water District (SJDWD)	Approval of Water Facilities Within Their Service Areas

Examples of State Approvals

AGENCY	PERMIT
California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC)	Lead Agency - CEQA, CPON
California Coastal Commission (CCC)	CDP
California Energy Commission (CEC)	AFC Amendment
California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG)	1600 Streambed Alteration Agreement
California Department of Health Services (CDHS)	Public Water System Permit
Caltrans	Encroachment Permit
State Lands Commission (SLC)	Lease / Encroachment
State DPR - Department of Parks and Recreation	Use / Encroachment
California Department of Toxic Substances (CDTS)	Finding of Suitability to Transfer / Finding of Suitability for Lease (FOT / FOSL)
Regional Water Quality Control Basin (RWQCB)	NPDES / 401 Certification

DRAFT

Example of Federal Approvals

AGENCY	PERMIT
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Cleanwater Act Section 10 & 404 Permits
Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) / NOAA Fisheries	ESA Section 10 and/or 7 Consultation
Base Reuse and Closure Office (BRACO)	License on FORA
U.S. Army & Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	Finding of Suitability to Transfer / Finding of Suitability for Lease (FOTSL / FOSL)
U.S. Bureau of Land Management (USBLM)	Use Permit
U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) / National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)	Corps Permit Review
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA)	Review California Energy Commission Approval
Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary (MBNMS)	Permit to Construct

		<p><b>6</b> Desalination Facility Siting –</p> <p>a. What project desalination site alternative locations have you identified?</p> <p>b. Have you done enough study to determine approximate acreage required?</p> <p>c. Do you have a preferred site?</p>	<p>Desalination Facility Siting –</p> <p>Three desalination sites have been identified in the Moss Landing area:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ One site owned by Duke Energy is adjacent to Dolan Road (north side) approximately 15,000 feet east of Highway 1.</li> <li>▪ Another site is directly west of the Duke Energy Site.</li> <li>▪ The third site is on the National Refractories site.</li> </ul> <p>Preliminary layouts of the proposed desalination facility have been prepared and an approximate 12-acre site will be required.</p> <p>No. These three and possibly other sites, will be analyzed as part of the environmental process. We are, though, concerned about the potential cleanup of the National Refractories site which could delay the project.</p>
		<p><b>7</b> Pilot Plant Facility –</p> <p>a. Are you planning on a pilot plant facility?</p> <p>b. Are there benefits to a pilot plant?</p> <p>c. Where will the pilot plant be located?</p> <p>d. Have you applied for permits to construct and operate a pilot plant?</p> <p>e. Who needs to permit this facility?</p> <p>f. Who will construct and operate the pilot plant?</p> <p>g. What is the schedule?</p> <p>h. Do you have an agreement with a property owner for the pilot plant?</p>	<p>Pilot Plant Facility –</p> <p>Yes. There are many benefits to this pilot plant and they include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Verifies finished water quality objectives</li> <li>▪ Refines process design – recovery rates, brine discharge rates, chemical usage, equipment selection, etc.</li> <li>▪ Helps identify design issues</li> <li>▪ Refines project costs, both capital and operational</li> <li>▪ Helps resolve environmental issues</li> <li>▪ Facilitates regulatory approvals</li> </ul> <p>Duke Power Plant</p> <p>Yes. Monterey County, Regional Water Quality Control Board, and California Coastal Commission.</p> <p>The pilot plant will be constructed and operated by Pridesa.</p> <p>The pilot plant will operate for one year with anticipated start date of February 1, 2005.</p> <p>An agreement between CAW and Duke Energy is currently being processed.</p>
		<p><b>8</b> Coordination with Duke Energy operations –</p> <p>a. Since your project may utilize Duke Power Plant Cooling Water facilities, have you analyzed how operations of your project will be conducted with its power plant operations?</p> <p>b. What provisions have you identified for power plant downtime, electrical outages, and rolling blackouts?</p>	<p>Coordination with Duke Energy operations –</p> <p>We have analyzed numerous years of Duke power plant operations and have determined operation of the desalination plant can be conducted with power plant operations.</p> <p>Power supply from two electrical feeds, storage facilities, and ASR facilities, will be utilized for power plant downtime, electrical outages, and rolling blackouts at the desalination site.</p>
		<p><b>9</b> Project costs –</p> <p>a. What is the estimated capital cost for the project and what are the cost components?</p> <p>b. What is the estimated capital cost per acre-foot and what assumptions did you use?</p> <p>c. What are the anticipated annual operations and maintenance costs and cost per acre-foot?</p> <p>d. What is the estimated capital cost and operations and maintenance cost</p>	<p>Project costs –</p> <p>The estimated capital costs of the project (20,272 AFY) is \$261,000,000 with the following categories:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Desalination Plant \$184,000,000</li> <li>▪ Desalinated Water Conveyance Facilities \$62,000,000</li> <li>▪ ASR Facilities \$15,000,000</li> </ul> <p>These costs include 25% implementation costs for engineering, environmental documentation, legal costs, property acquisition activities, construction management, and administrative. Also included is 10% for contingencies.</p> <p>The estimated capital costs per acre foot is approximately \$1,030, assuming a 30-year return period and 7% interest rate.</p>

	<p>e. per acre-foot? Are there cost variables that should be considered? What is the impact to CAW consumer water bill?</p> <p>f.</p>	<p>c. The anticipated annual O&amp;M cost is \$10,400,000/year or about \$500 per acre-foot. The overall estimated costs is approximately \$1,500/acre-foot with the following breakdown:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="332 472 568 1165"> <thead> <tr> <th>Based on Pro-Rata Share of CWP Facility Participation</th> <th>Cost Share</th> <th>O&amp;M Annual Costs</th> <th>Cost / Acre-Ft.</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Component 1 – Order 95-10 (10,730 AFY)</td> <td>\$ 146,000,000</td> <td>\$ 5,400,000</td> <td>\$ 1,600</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Component 2 – Seaside Overdraft (1,000 AFY)</td> <td>\$ 13,500,000</td> <td>\$ 501,000</td> <td>\$ 1,600</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Component 3 – MPWMD 20-Year Projections (3,572 AFY)</td> <td>\$ 48,700,000</td> <td>\$ 1,804,000</td> <td>\$ 1,600</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Component 4 – MCWD (2,400 AFY)</td> <td>\$ 28,000,000</td> <td>\$ 1,405,000</td> <td>\$ 1,500</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Component 5 – Northern County Areas (2,570 AFY)</td> <td>\$ 24,000,000</td> <td>\$ 1,512,000</td> <td>\$ 1,340</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Note: AFY = Acre-Feet per Year Based on 30-Year return period with 7% rate of return</p> <p>e. Yes. There are many variables that could impact the overall costs up or down and they include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Agency Participation</li> <li>▪ Securement of Excess Carmel River Water</li> <li>▪ Hydrogeologic Conditions (for ASR)</li> <li>▪ Geotechnical Conditions</li> <li>▪ Regulatory Conditions</li> <li>▪ Technology Advances</li> <li>▪ Pilot Plant Results</li> <li>▪ Energy Costs and Legislation</li> <li>▪ Financing Options</li> <li>▪ Materials Price Escalation</li> </ul> <p>f. The cost impact to the consumer is not known at this time. Rate application processing with the CPUC is underway.</p>	Based on Pro-Rata Share of CWP Facility Participation	Cost Share	O&M Annual Costs	Cost / Acre-Ft.	Component 1 – Order 95-10 (10,730 AFY)	\$ 146,000,000	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 1,600	Component 2 – Seaside Overdraft (1,000 AFY)	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 501,000	\$ 1,600	Component 3 – MPWMD 20-Year Projections (3,572 AFY)	\$ 48,700,000	\$ 1,804,000	\$ 1,600	Component 4 – MCWD (2,400 AFY)	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 1,405,000	\$ 1,500	Component 5 – Northern County Areas (2,570 AFY)	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 1,512,000	\$ 1,340
Based on Pro-Rata Share of CWP Facility Participation	Cost Share	O&M Annual Costs	Cost / Acre-Ft.																							
Component 1 – Order 95-10 (10,730 AFY)	\$ 146,000,000	\$ 5,400,000	\$ 1,600																							
Component 2 – Seaside Overdraft (1,000 AFY)	\$ 13,500,000	\$ 501,000	\$ 1,600																							
Component 3 – MPWMD 20-Year Projections (3,572 AFY)	\$ 48,700,000	\$ 1,804,000	\$ 1,600																							
Component 4 – MCWD (2,400 AFY)	\$ 28,000,000	\$ 1,405,000	\$ 1,500																							
Component 5 – Northern County Areas (2,570 AFY)	\$ 24,000,000	\$ 1,512,000	\$ 1,340																							
<p>10</p>	<p>Project Financing – a. How will the project be financed and what is anticipated interest rate and term (number of years)? b. Is a public vote required for project approval?</p>	<p>Project Financing – a. Details of project financing have yet to be analyzed. It is anticipated that the benefits of a combination of public and private funding will be analyzed to determine the lowest cost options. Also, availability of public grants, including Federal and State Proposition 50 funds, and loans will be analyzed. b. It is not anticipated that a public vote will be required.</p>																								
<p>11</p>	<p>Project Team Experience – a. Is your project team experienced in local large water supply project implementation? b. What design, permitting, and environmental processing experience does your team possess on desalination projects?</p>	<p>Project Team Experience – a. From CWP Weeks – Could you provide a list of MCWRA water supply projects? b. RBF Consulting and Pridesa have been involved in the design of over 50 desalination projects. RBF Consulting is currently providing permitting and/or environmental processing for five ocean desalination plants along the California Coast.</p>																								
<p>12</p>	<p>Public Involvement – a. Do you have a program to involve the public in your project?</p>	<p>Public Involvement – a. Yes. Armanasco Public Relations, a CWP team member, has prepared a community outreach plan to include the public throughout the project. This community outreach plan will provide accessibility of information through a public process with the goal of defining, identifying and implementing a water supply solution. This will be achieved by working together (the parties) with appropriate water districts and cities that represent the coastal communities. The process will be an open and inclusive community outreach effort to involve the public and provide consistent communication and education. Presentation/Study Sessions: The CWP team will make presentations to Peninsula City Councils, water agencies, and others. To date over 10 public presentations have occurred and five are scheduled in August/September. White Papers &amp; Round Table Discussions: Members of an independent qualified advisory panel will participate in round table discussions and author a series of 'white papers' for public use. The documents will focus on issues such as: desalination, water quality and safety, environmental impacts, including marine and terrestrial species, aquifer storage and recovery (ASR) and of the areas of public interest. Community Scoping Meetings and Workshops: The CWP will coordinate and host public meetings so that the public can learn more about and comment on the Coastal Water Project. Various forms of communication will be utilized to notify the public of upcoming meeting times and locations. Website Accessibility: The CWP web site (<a href="http://www.coastalwaterproject.com">www.coastalwaterproject.com</a>) will provide updated technical and community information regarding the Coastal Water Project. Public Service Announcements and Informational Programming: The CWP will utilize paid broadcast media time to further inform the public of the Coastal Water Project, its progress and opportunities for public input. Accessibility of Printed Information: The CWP team will disseminate explanatory brochures, handouts, direct mail pieces and advertisements to raise awareness and inform the public of the Coastal Water Project.</p>																								