

# Supplement to 7/18/11 MPWMD Board Packet

Attached are copies of letters received between June 15, 2011 and July 8, 2011. These letters are also listed in the July 18, 2011 Board packet under item 24, Letters Received.

Author	Addressee	Date	Topic
Tim Miller	David Laredo	5/26/2011	MPWMD Draft Resolution 2011-09
Luis A. Alejo	Bob Brower	6/8/2011	Letter of Thanks for Service to the MPWMD
George T. Riley	Brenda Lewis	6/13/2011	Appointment to Carmel River Advisory Committee
Ron Weitzman	MPWMD Board	6/20/2011	Petition Water-Plus Proposal that MPWMD Purchase
,			California American Water's Monterey District

U:\staff\word\boardpacket\2011\20110718\LettersRecd\supplement.docx



Received at 5/26/1/ Board Meeting

Timothy J. Miller 1033 B Avenue Suite 200 Coronado, CA 92118 tim.miller@amwater.com

Thomas 2

May 26, 2011

David C. Laredo MPWMD District Counsel De Lay & Laredo 606 Forest Ave. Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Re: MPWMD Draft Resolution 2011-09

Dear Mr. Laredo:

### **INTRODUCTION**

Thank you for providing me with a draft of Monterey Peninsula Water Management District ("District") Resolution 2011-09 and the supporting staff note relating to the collection and remittance of the User Fee. California American Water has reviewed the draft resolution and staff note. We understand that Resolution 2011-09 is being offered in response to various decisions of the California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") relating to the User Fee to ensure the District is not subject to various legal claims or defenses should litigation be required to address those CPUC decisions. We note at the outset that we have been working cooperatively with the District at all levels to address those CPUC decisions and the programs historically funded by the User Fee. As discussed in our telephone conference yesterday, California American Water is submitting this letter to you to satisfy our obligation to exhaust our administrative remedies should it be necessary for California American Water to litigate the District's action on Resolution 2011-09. We, nevertheless, remain committed to our cooperative efforts with the District.

We understand that the operative language of Resolution 2011-09 is to "order California American Water to collect and remit to the District the Water Distribution System User Fee as required by District Ordinance 123." Accordingly, we infer from that language that the intent of Resolution 2011-09 is not to change any aspect of Ordinance 123 in terms of ultimate responsibility for the User Fee; i.e., this Ordinance neither imposes the User Fee directly on California American Water in lieu of California American Water's

Our comments are limited to the text of Resolution 2011-09 provided to us at 8:03 a.m. on May 23, 2011. This letter does not address subsequent changes to the Resolution.

David Laredo, District Counsel Monterey Peninsula Water Management District May 26, 2011 Page 2 of 4

customers, nor requires California American Water to remit the User Fee regardless of whether California American Water is authorized by the CPUC to collect the User Fee. The ultimate liability for the User Fee under Ordinance 123, if Resolution 2011-09 is passed, would remain with our customers.

If our understanding of this resolution is incorrect, and it is the District's intent to require California American Water to collect the User Fee to the District without CPUC authorization to collect the User Fee or to require California American Water to remit payment without first collecting the funds from our customers, we offer the following additional factual information and comments regarding draft Resolution 2011-09.

If there is some other purpose for Resolution 2011-09, we respectfully request an explanation of the purposes of Resolution 2011-09 and that the District Board delay action on this proposed resolution until California American Water can evaluate and comment on the purposes of Resolution 2011-09 as clarified.

## ADDITIONAL FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Subsequent to the CPUC issuing D.11-03-035, California American Water has met on multiple occasions with MPWMD staff and District Counsel to discuss how to proceed in light of the CPUC's decision. As respects ASR, California American Water, District staff and District counsel discussed an arrangement whereby California American Water would acquire the assets, close the current funding gap, and obtain the necessary funding to construct ASR Well #4. This proposal had numerous advantages, including resolving longstanding complications with the California Department of Public Health related to the use of the ASR wells to recover water from the Seaside Basin.

As respects the Mitigation Program, subsequent to the CPUC issuing a draft order in the User Fee proceeding, California American Water requested District staff to provide California American Water with a budget to implement the Mitigation Program to ensure the requirements of Order 95-10 were met. That budget was incorporated into the *Interim Implementation Agreement for 2011-2012 Carmel River Mitigation Program*, which the District Board approved on May 16, 2011. The purpose of that agreement as stated therein is: "To ensure the Mitigation Program required by Order 95-10 continues pending a decision by the CPUC on California American Water's amended application regarding the User Fee."

#### **COMMENTS ON DRAFT RESOLUTION 2011-09**

With the above-described purpose in mind and in consideration of the additional facts, we offer the following comments on the draft resolution and staff note:

- 1. Resolution 2011-09 imposes on California American Water obligations that are inconsistent with California Public Utilities Commission Decisions 09-07-021 and 11-03-035 and may be preempted by Constitutional powers of the CPUC.
- Resolution 2011-09 and the Staff Note omit relevant information regarding the Interim Implementation Agreement for 2011-2012 Carmel River Mitigation Program and therefore inaccurately describes the current funding available for

David Laredo, District Counsel Monterey Peninsula Water Management District May 26, 2011 Page 3 of 4

> the Mitigation Program, and accordingly whether the obligations under Order 95-10 are met.

- 3. The District has failed to evaluate any alternative method of collecting the User Fee or all reasonable methods of funding the ASR Project.
- Requiring California American Water to pay the User Fee without regard to our authority to recover these costs could be a taking in violation of the California and United States Constitutions.
- 5. Resolution 2011-09 combined with the *Interim Implementation Agreement for 2011-2012 Carmel River Mitigation Program* may exceed the funding authorized pursuant to Ordinance 123.
- 6. Resolution 2011-09 may exceed the scope of Ordinance 123 if the 8.325 percent User Fee funds new capital improvements instead of merely "[maintaining] plant, equipment, facilities, supplies, personnel and reasonable reserves necessary to provide water service."
- 7. Resolution 2011-09 exceeds the scope of Ordinance 123 to the extent it seeks to order the remittance of more than 1.2 percent of California American Water's water and related charges.
- 8. Ordinance 123, as interpreted by Resolution 2011-09, may be void for vagueness because Ordinance 123 does not clearly set forth the obligation of California American Water that Resolution 2011-09 purports to enforce.
- 9. Resolution 2011-09 also states that California American Water's operations in the Seaside Groundwater Basin are adversely affecting steelhead and the California Red Legged Frog. California American Water is aware of no report finding that pumping water from the Seaside Basin affects steelhead or frogs.
- 10. Resolution 2011-09 inaccurately characterizes the purposes of the Mitigation Program because the CEQA project that requires the Mitigation Program is the District's Water Allocation Program, not an approval requested by California American Water.
- Resolution 2011-09 imposes obligations on California American Water that it has no authority to implement because it requires California American Water to "set" the User Fee.

Based on the foregoing, adopting Resolution 2011-09 would be arbitrary and capricious and an abuse of discretion by the District Board. It would also create a controversy regarding California American Water's rights and duties under Resolution 2011-09 and CPUC decisions D.09-07-021 and D.11-03-035. California American Water respectfully requests that the District refrain from acting on Resolution 2011-09 at this time. If the District determines that it must persist on this course, the District Board should not act on Resolution 2011-09 as currently drafted or based on the existing staff note, but should send the matter back to staff for revisions and explanations consistent with our comments. California American Water also requests that we further discuss the

David Laredo, District Counsel Monterey Peninsula Water Management District May 26, 2011 Page 4 of 4

District's concerns that have led to this course of action to determine if those concerns could be addressed short of this order.

We look forward to continuing the cooperative spirit of our efforts to maintain the Mitigation and ASR Program. Please direct any questions regarding this letter to my attention.

Best Regards,

Tim Miller

**Corporate Counsel** 

cc: Robert MacLean Darby Fuerst Edward Simon Craig Anthony

STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0028 (916) 319-2028 FAX (916) 319-2128

DISTRICT OFFICE 100 WEST ALISAL STREET, SUITE 134 SALINAS, CA 93901 (831) 759-8676 FAX (831) 759-2961

E-MAIL Assemblymember.Alejo@assembly.ca.gov

Assembly California Legislature LUIS A. ALEIO ASSEMBLYMEMBER TWENTY-EIGHTH DISTRICT

June 8, 2011

300 B. Sty

the believe a major wermost stars

بفأن يتمني الماني فار

Mr. Bob Brower, Chair, Board of Directors Monterey Peninsula Water Management District 5 Harris Court, Building G P.O. Box 85 Monterey, CA 93942-0085

Dear Chairman Brower,

COMMITTEES VICE CHAIR: LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE #5, PUBLIC SAFETY LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT RULES

JOINT COMMITTEES LEGISLATIVE AUDIT RULES

**SELECT COMMITTEES** CALIFORNIA-MEXICO BI-NATIONAL AFFAIRS **DELIQUENCY PREVENTION AND YOUTH** DEVELOPMENT HIGH TECHNOLOGY HIGH SPEED RAIL FOR CALIFORNIA REGIONAL APPROACHES TO ADDRESSING THE STATE'S WATER CRISIS STATE HOSPITAL SAFETY SUSTAINABLE AND ORGANIC AGRICULTURE

JUN 16 2011

MPWMD

TOGO BERRIOTS & AND

Thank you for your continued service and dedication to the people of the Monterey Peninsula. I commend the Board, management, and all of the hard working employees of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District (MPWMD) for your diligence in ensuring that the public's water resources are secure and well-protected. Your efforts are appreciated and valued. yeku*nda*eonya.

It is organizations like yours that truly impact and make a difference in our communities. I look forward to working with the MPWMD on many issues including the management of the local water supply, water conservation efforts, and maintaining the recreation of the Monterey Peninsula and in the Carmel River basin.

Please feel free to contact me or my staff anytime to discuss issues of importance that affect your water district. Again, thank you for your involvement and leadership in our communities.

Sincerely,

ÚIS A. ALEJO Assemblymember

28th District oncome Additional and state of the and state thomistey Ferdinals Maist Managerios, Bistoid (site Wilvill) tor your allinease in populate bistory.

Frederical and committee for the results should be all effects.

g king bilang a kalabasa sa ay kang bayasa dan dagadi ing iling iling

# 

## GEORGE T. RILEY 1198 CASTRO ROAD MONTEREY CA 93940

JUN 16 ZUII MPWMD

June 13, 2011

Director Brenda Lewis, Monterey Peninsula Water Management District 5 Harris Court, Building G, Monterey CA 93940

Dear Brenda,

First, congratulations all over again. It feels good to address you as Director Lewis. I hope you are finding a comfort zone at this early stage. Your intellect and skepticism should serve you well to balance the competing issues you will face. I hope your independence and principles will solidify the soundness of WMD deliberations and decisions. I wish you well.

Second, I hope my opinions are not held against me. Although we differed at the end of the campaign, I continued to work for your success, including working the phones up until early afternoon on Election Day. Maybe one day the time will evolve that we can talk just so there is no baggage between us. I hope we might do that before too long.

Third, I normally make my opinions known in public settings and at public meetings. The only time I do things privately is when the issue is private, although it will have public interest implications. That is the main purpose of this letter, to comment on an appointment.

I heard you have an appointment to make to the Carmel River Advisory Committee, and that one candidate is Margaret Robbins. I do not know other candidates you may be considering. But I do know Margaret, and I think she would be a solid appointment by you and a solid addition to the CRAC.

I know Margaret mainly from my 9 years with the League of Women Voters and the committee on Natural Resources. Margaret is truly a public interest advocate in all the best meanings of that concept. She is smart. She does her research. She tracks down facts and political relationships. She shows up for meetings. She asks questions, chases to clarify vague responses, looks for options for reasonable approaches. and is well spoken. She interacts well in groups, and is always prepared. She is relentless in pursuing the broad principles of public access, public input, public participation, and public awareness.

She carries the broad view that Carmel Valley is a political pawn. Since there is no government structure to oversee Carmel Valley, active citizen engagement is the only option. Margaret is excellent at this.

She would be a great link for you to have into Carmel Valley.

Respectfully,

## TO THE DIRECTORS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICTS

We, the undesigned, support the request of WaterPlus that you schedule a public workshop no later than 15 July 2011 to examine the WaterPlus proposal that the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District purchase California American Water Company's Monterey district system.

	<u> </u>
NAME	DEL MESA CARMEL ADDRESS
Ence Kupin	221
Dora Dhases	221
Holand Martin	269
Rotti Martin	269
Mym agraphic	238
Belly Rush	12
TA Boyden	2/
Sellie myus	33794 Ster Latings Herry
Thethe	126
Wande Druesdell	150 Do mesa
you Butter	69 DEC/METSET-
Flador it & Stenley	248 Dai Mesp
JOYCE EX MERRITT	#30
Sterme	1161 Sylve (PL Montere
Panco 1	7215
I was the	# 154 DEL MEEA
They Heating	# 178 DE MESO CARNEL
Barbarallello	# H3 Del Mosa, Carnel
Johnstent	Ad Del Mess Chamel
Money Sand	197 De Mesa Carmel
Manin Roudin	379 All Mass
Then Ma Derrant	1 Del Misc
Berlal Trist	24 Del Maa
Ochard T. Drenn	193 Oal Mesa Eurne
Elisabethe Pluck	79 Del Mesa Cormo
Seager Oden	72 Sel Meso Carnel
Values Gustafron	229 Och Masa Carmel

## TO THE DIRECTORS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:

We, the undesigned, support the request of WaterPlus that you schedule a public workshop no later than 15 July 2011 to examine the WaterPlus proposal that the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District purchase California American Water Company's Monterey district system.

2/2

	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )
NAME	# only
NAME	DEL MESA CARMEL ADDRESS
Elizabet Xalora	165
arnest E. Jalera	165
Musiel L. Foster	
Ruhert Barnett	4211-4102
april Barnett	#102
All Horson	360 HUMMOND DI CLEMER, CA
aus toze	109 Del Viera
Olymal They	TOO CASANOVA ON 125 MG
Jen Hennely,	18 Delmesa, CAROS
Jeff Str	29 DEL MESÍ CARNEC
Water to the state of the state	1191 Amoson AL FRASIDE CA-93875
Jelegnon Nanson	44 Del Meso
Kh Whartin	187 Del Masa
Com a tit	172 Del MESO
Foller Mydras	50 OOL WESA
Low 7. Haprie	185 Del Mera Gund
Barbare D. Taylar	259 Del Mesa Carmel
Barbara Darelland	64
Judithe Howard	2/2 DMC
Wordston & Beeken	55 Del Mesa Carnel
Murie Rous and	147 Dol Mesa Carmel
Duni Jan Mildollanottle	186XUL MELA DE
graph & Sawara	75 Del Mera Carmel
V	- Street
	•
	growt.

# TO THE DIRECTORS OF THE MONTEREY PENINSULA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT:

We, the undesigned, support the request of WaterPlus that you schedule a public workshop no later than 15 July 2011 to examine the WaterPlus proposal that the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District purchase California American Water Company's Monterey district system.

3/3

	inger, I
NAME	DEL MESA CARMEL ADDRESS
Score (). Thomson	247 Del Mesa
Margart & Thumson	247 Del Mesa
James JBAB, L.	940 prake are Mity 93940
Marel & Heller	276 Del Mesa Dr.
Jam Joen F. Cucul	67 Del Meso DR
Al Before	SOO DET MESE SK
Caster Bridack	28/2 DU Mes
Donna M Johnston	270 Ad Mesa
Jeresa Sormani	130 Del Mesa
Nay Bush for	729 DEL MESA CARMEL
Tester Parlin	199 Del Alesa Carrol
Sorlo True delp	150 Del Meso Cormel
John J. Mark	133 Of Muse Carneel
Jan & Sabet	89 Dd Meser Cuml
Hore's Guetbach	130
Shit D Hammed	269 Del Mesz Garmel
If m caroneral	214 4
I Jethleen Mardonald	274 Del moso
Barbora Amend	242 Del Mesa
Jaig Haugen	207 Dec Mera
Madeline S. Carver	14a Del Mesa
William H- Welch	84 Del Mesa
H. R. Reck	66 DEL MESA CAREEZ
Glice J. Kepp	66 DEL MESA CARMEL
0 #1	5005
	a gran
	and the first of t