

Submitted by Molly Erickson
at 9/19/2011 Board Meeting
Item 17

**The Board should set for hearing
in October:**

- 1. the Beech appeal**
- 2. the Flores/Pisenti appeal**

Submitted by Molly Erickson
at 9/19/2011 Board Meeting
Item 17

RE: David & Judy Beech
Tuesday, July 5, 2011 2:11 PM
From: "Darby Fuerst" <Darby@mpwmd.net>
To: "Molly Erickson" <erickson@stamplaw.us>
Cc: "Henrietta Stern" <henri@mpwmd.net>, "David Laredo" <dave@laredolaw.net>
Message contains attachments 1 File (2KB)

Molly,

I concur with your summary below regarding the deadline for the Beeches to respond to the timeframe set in my June 24, 2011 letter. Specifically, the deadline for the Beeches to indicate whether or not they want their well monitored is extended to July 12, 2011.

→ As we discussed, my June 24, 2011 letter is a determination that can be appealed. ←
Also as I noted, under District Rule 70, appeals must reference the provision of the District Rules and Regulations that have been violated.

Description: Darby Fuerst PH

From: Molly Erickson [mailto:erickson@stamplaw.us]
Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2011 11:03 AM
To: Darby Fuerst
Subject: David & Judy Beech

Darby:

Thank you for discussing this with me this morning. This confirms that you have agreed to a seven-day extension of the seven-day timeframe that was set in your June 24, 2011 letter to Mr. David Beech, Jose Flores, and Pisenti Family Trust. That means that instead of a deadline of today, July 5, the deadline would be July 12 for any action by the Beeches in response to that letter. You have also confirmed that the letter is a final administrative decision that can be appealed.

Would you please confirm that this meets your understanding, as well?

Thank you for your courtesy.

Regards,

Molly

Molly Erickson
Law Offices of Michael W. Stamp
479 Pacific Street, Suite One
Monterey, CA 93940
tel: 831-373-1214
fax: 831-373-0242