

Submitted by MPWMD Staff
at meeting.
Item 13

Henrietta Stern

From: David Beech <dbeech@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2012 4:56 PM
To: jlehman@redshift.com
Cc: kmarkey65@comcast.net; Henrietta Stern; Arlene Tavani
Subject: URGENT: Small Amendment for 4/16 Board Meeting, Agenda item 13

Dear Judi (or anyone who reads this),

Apologies for this late submission for tonight's Board Meeting. I have been out of town for 2 weeks, and have a conflict this evening, but noticed a small omission which I believe was unintentional. Hence I offer the friendly amendment below as a Public Comment.

Proposed Amendment to Ordinance No. 150

In the proposed new Rule 21-A-2, replace "Applicant shall provide documentation of the Neighboring Well owners' responses to the notice, if any," by "Applicant shall provide documentation of notice to, and responses (if any) by, Neighboring Well owners," .

Discussion

In reviewing the text of the proposed Ordinance No. 150, new Rule 21-A-2, I found that it does not correct the problem that arose in October 2010, when Neighboring Well owners were not notified. Discussion in the Rules and Regulations committee suggested that the Applicant should provide documentation of notification of Neighboring Well owners, as well as of their responses (if any), prior to testing, so that failure of notification would surface early, rather than after testing. If the owners had not been notified, then of course there would have been no responses from them, and the problem would not be detected until application of the new Rule 21-A-12. In fact, new Rule 21-A-2 could use similar wording to new Rule 21-A-12.

Thank you for your consideration.

David Beech