



inclusion of the Seaside Groundwater Basin. The District believes that the Seaside Groundwater Basin boundary is different from the basin as defined by the Department of Water Resources. There are issues related to the boundary of the Seaside Groundwater Basin which must be resolved, before the District would take on the responsibility as GSA for that area. The District should not expand its boundaries to include all areas it considers to be within the Seaside Groundwater Basin. The District should coordinate with Monterey County Water Resources Agency to determine what the boundaries of the Seaside Groundwater Basin will be. It was suggested by a committee member that the Water Management District should form a GSA that includes the Seaside Groundwater Basin and Carmel Valley Alluvial Aquifer, and then later remove areas as appropriate. The resolution to form the GSA could state that in the future the District will seek to modify the boundary. No public comment was directed to the committee on this item.

**4. Update on Pure Water Monterey Project**

The estimated costs for the Pure Water Monterey project (PWM) should be available within a week. Those costs will be compared to Cal-Am's estimates for the desalination project that were specified in its application to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The best outcome would be that PWM costs are lower than desalination project costs, including operation and maintenance. Phase 1 of the CPUC process relates to desalination, Phase 2 is PWM, and Phase 3 is return flows and associated alternatives. If Phase 1 is delayed, decisions will need to be made regarding how to proceed with Phase 2.

**5. Update on California-American Water Desalination Plant**

Stoldt reported that the California Coastal Commission should consider the amended permit for the test slant well in September in Eureka, California. It may be preferable for Cal-Am to request the issue be heard at the October meeting in Long Beach. The CPUC has extended the comment period for the desalination project EIR, but the project timeline has not been adjusted. This causes uncertainty with respect to the timeline for the Pure Water Monterey Project.

**6. Update on Local Water Projects**

Stoldt updated the committee on the status of projects that may be submitted for grant funding by: Monterey Peninsula Airport District, Pebble Beach Company, the City of Pacific Grove and the Monterey County Fairgrounds.

Luke Coletti addressed the committee during the public comment period on this item. He stated that the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) identified the Pacific Grove project financing plan as controversial, so the financing will be considered by the SWRCB in October.

**7. Update on Alternative Desalination Project**

No report. A representative from DeepWater Desal will present an update at the August 17, 2015 Board meeting.

**Suggestions from the Public on Water Supply Project Alternatives**

No comments.

**Set Next Meeting Date**

No date set.

**Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:25 p.m.

U:\staff\Boardpacket\2015\20150915\InfoItems\18\Item18\_Exhibit18-A.docx