



Supplement to 12/14/2015 MPWMD Board Packet

Attached are copies of letters received between November 4, 2015 and December 9, 2015. These letters are listed in the November 16, 2015 Board packet under Letters Received.

| Author | Addressee | Date | Topic |
|--------------------|--------------|----------|--|
| Luke Coletti | David Stoldt | 12/9/15 | Entitlement for Pacific Grove |
| John Narigi | MPWMD Board | 11/16/15 | Agenda Items 20, 21, 22 from 11/16/15 Board Meeting |
| Claudio Valenzuela | MPWMD | 11/13/15 | Appointment of Nominee in Lieu of Election |
| Claudio Valenzuela | MPWMD | 11/13/15 | Certificate of the Registrar of Voters for the MPWMD |

U:\staff\Boardpacket\2015\20151214\LtrPkt\LetterPacket.docx

Arlene Tavani

From: Dave Stoldt
Sent: Wednesday, December 9, 2015 4:02 PM
To: Arlene Tavani
Subject: FW: Entitlement for Pacific Grove.

RECEIVED

DEC - 9 2015

Please include as correspondence to the Board.

MPWMD

From: Luke Coletti [<mailto:ljc@groknet.net>]
Sent: Wednesday, December 09, 2015 12:13 PM
To: Dave Stoldt
Cc: robert.maclean@amwater.com; Richard.Svindland@amwater.com; Eric.Sabolsice@amwater.com; bjleneve@att.net
Subject: Entitlement for Pacific Grove.

Hello Dave,

Apparently, at the Dec 14 meeting the MPWMD will be going forward with an entitlement for Pacific Grove. I oppose the inclusion of any past use of unlawful water (diverted by Cal-Am) for the purpose of determining an entitlement amount. In this case, I believe Pacific Grove is only entitled to the lawful portion of any past historic use. Therefore, I believe Pacific Grove is only entitled to roughly 1/3 of any past use at the golf course and cemetery. The median demand over the last ten years is approx. 79 afy for both the golf course and cemetery.

I intend to advocate this position as part of the upcoming Cal-Am CDO extension hearings at the SWRCB, where the question of MPWMD entitlements will surely be discussed.

http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/projects/california_american_water_company/index.shtml

Thank you for your consideration,

Luke Coletti
 Pacific Grove

Submitted by Jody Hansen
at 11/16/15 Board meeting
Item 22

Coalition of Peninsula Businesses

A coalition to resolve the Peninsula water challenge to
comply with the CDO a a reasonable cost

*Members Include: Monterey County Hospitality Association, Monterey Commercial Property Owners Association,
Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce, Carmel Chamber of Commerce, Pacific Grove Chamber of Commerce,
Monterey County Association of Realtors, Community Hospital of the Monterey Peninsula,
Associated General Contractors – Monterey District, Pebble Beach Company*

November 16, 2015

Kristi Markey, Chair, and Members of Board of Directors
Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
5 Harris Court, Bldg G
Monterey, California 93940

Delivered by hand at Board meeting

Re: Agenda Items 20, 21, 22

Dear Ms Markey and Board Members:

Points on items 20, 21, 22 on MPWMD agenda 11-16-15

The Coalition of Peninsula Businesses - which comprises all the organizations and entities listed above - was a participant in the 2013 settlement agreements that established the size of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (MPWSP) and more importantly established the nine criteria Groundwater Recharge (GWR) must satisfy in order to be considered a part of MPWSP and reduce the size of the desal plant portion of MPWSP.

Since Item 22 is the only item requested actions, we strongly recommend deferring any action or budgeting authorization until 1) all the questions surrounding GWR have been answered and 2) a source of funding has been identified to pay for additional costs on the GWR project.

We are most concerned at this point about the ninth criteria which says: "(9) the revenue requirement for the combination smaller plant/GWR is just and reasonable compared with the larger plant. A revenue requirement premium for the combination smaller plant/GWR may be just and reasonable if the combination affords significant benefits (including scheduling, diversification of water supply, and environmental benefits) over the larger plant." The draft Water Purchase Agreement (included as an exhibit with Item 21), which is nowhere near complete or finalized, mentions no costs or other important details, especially the costs for water to be delivered to consumers. As written, the WPA constitutes a "blank check" from Cal Am to cover all GWR capital and operational costs. How can this possibly be consistent with the ninth criteria?

Item 20 mentions that the required cost comparison "prepared by the District" was shared with Cal Am prior to today and will be shared with MPRWA TAC and MPWMD Board tonight. How can the interested public provide any meaningful comments or analysis on a cost comparison they did not see until a few minutes ago? Further, Item 20 states the so-called "triple

bottom line” study of the estimated monetary value of various GWR “externalities” is not expected to be done until “the end of the year.” How can any cost comparison be made if the study of the estimated value of externalities is not available?

Item 21 includes a discussion of water availability/delivery guarantees (WPA sections 12 and 13). Based on the draft Water Purchase Agreement, the minimum annual water available to Cal Am for use by customers is 2,800 AF. Does this mean the desal plant will be sized to avoid water shortages in the event the new minimum of 2,800 is available? Or for the one-year minimum of 1,800 AF? What assurance does anyone have that the source water flows into the GWR plant will be sufficient and sustainable to meet the drinking water availability guarantee? What assurances does anyone have that the reserves the District intends to create can, in fact, be created and in the time frame mentioned?

Item 21 also deals with the possibility of breach (WPA section 19), failure of PUC approval (WPA section 26) and insurance (WPA section 27). There are a number of unanswered questions regarding failure to perform and how GWR capital costs and operating costs will be covered in the event of any failure, whether through insurance or additional taxes. Without answers to these important questions, meaningful comment is impossible except to note this: if anything goes wrong, the residents and businesses on the Peninsula will be stuck with the bill no matter what. That directly contradicts the ninth GWR criteria in the settlement agreement which seeks to assure the ratepayers will not have to shoulder any unnecessary financial burden associated with GWR.

Item 22 asks the Board for permission to spend “unbudgeted funds” to accelerate GWR progress from “unidentified” sources. It would be the height of irresponsibility and fiscal folly to do this.

Thank you for your consideration of our concerns. The Coalition has always supported the GWR project as a stand-alone, supplemental water supply project.

Very truly yours,



John Narigi, Chair

MONTEREY COUNTY ELECTIONS

PO Box 4400
Salinas, CA 93912

1370-B South Main Street
Salinas, CA 93901

831-796-1499 Phone
831-755-5485 Fax

www.MontereyCountyElections.us

elections@co.monterey.ca.us

Claudio Valenzuela
Registrar of Voters

Gina Martinez
Assistant Registrar of Voters



RECEIVED

NOV 23 2015

MPWMD

November 13, 2015

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
Attention: District Secretary
PO BOX 85
Monterey, CA 93940

Subject: Appointment of Nominees per EC 10515

Enclosed for your reference is the Order of the Monterey County Board of Supervisors under Elections Code section 10515 approving the appointments of qualified nominees in Lieu of the Election held on November 3, 2015. Also enclosed are the individual Certificates of Election for each nominee appointed by the Board of Supervisors, as prepared by the Registrar of Voters.

Sincerely,

Claudio Valenzuela
Registrar of Voters

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

State of California }
County of Monterey } ss.

I, **Claudio Valenzuela**, Registrar of Voters of the County of Monterey, State of California, do hereby certify that:

WHEREAS, the number of nominees did not exceed the number of offices required by law to be filled at the Election held on the 3rd day of November, 2015 within the boundaries of your district;

NOW, THEREFORE the Registrar of Voters hereby requests the supervising authority to appoint the following qualified person or persons as listed below:

Andrew Clarke, Division 2 - 2 year term

Jeanne C. Byrne, Division 4 - 4 year term

Bob Brower Sr., Division 5 - 4 year term

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have affixed my hand and official seal this Friday, November 13, 2015.

Claudio Valenzuela

Registrar of Voters





Monterey County

168 West Alisal Street,
1st Floor
Salinas, CA 93901
831.755.5066

Board Order

Upon motion of Supervisor Potter, seconded by Supervisor Armenta and carried by those members present, the Board of Supervisors hereby:

- a. Appointed candidates to governing boards of various districts where the number of persons who filed a declaration of candidacy do not exceed the number of offices to be filled at the November 3, 2015 election, as set forth in the attached Exhibits; and
- b. Solicited candidates for appointment of qualified persons to governing board member positions where no person has filed a declaration of candidacy.

PASSED AND ADOPTED on this 13th day of October 2015, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Supervisors Armenta, Phillips, Salinas, Parker and Potter

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

I, Gail T. Borkowski, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Monterey, State of California, hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of an original order of said Board of Supervisors duly made and entered in the minutes thereof of Minute Book 78 for the meeting on October 13, 2015.

Dated: October 13, 2015
File ID: 15-1072

Gail T. Borkowski, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of Monterey, State of California

By Denise Hancock
Deputy

MONTEREY COUNTY ELECTIONS

PO Box 4400
Salinas, CA 93912

1370-B South Main Street
Salinas, CA 93901

831-796-1499 Phone
831-755-5485 Fax

www.MontereyCountyElections.us

elections@co.monterey.ca.us

Claudio Valenzuela
Registrar of Voters

Gina Martinez
Assistant Registrar of Voters



RECEIVED

NOV 23 2015

MPWMD

November 13, 2015

Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
Attention: District Secretary
PO BOX 85
Monterey, CA 93940

Subject: Certificate of the Registrar of Voters for the **Monterey Peninsula Water Management District**.

Attached hereto is the Certificate of the Registrar of Voters in connection with the November 3, 2015 Election.

Sincerely,

Claudio Valenzuela
Registrar of Voters

Enclosures

CERTIFICATE OF THE REGISTRAR OF VOTERS

In the Matter of the CANVASS OF VOTE CAST)
at the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District)
November 3, 2015 Election)

I, **Claudio Valenzuela**, Registrar of Voters of the County of Monterey, State of California hereby certify;

THAT an election was held within the boundaries of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, Division 3 on November 3, 2015 for the purpose of electing one Director to the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District, and; I caused to have processed and recorded the votes from the canvass of all ballots cast at said election within the boundaries of the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District Division 3.

I **HEREBY FURTHER CERTIFY** that the record of votes cast at said election is set forth in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth at length.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto affix my hand and seal this Friday, November 13, 2015 and file this date with the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District.



Claudio Valenzuela
Registrar of Voters

CITY/SCHOOL/SPECIAL DISTRICT ELECTION

EXHIBIT A

November 3, 2015

Summary Report

COUNTY OF MONTEREY

Final Official Report

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|--|--------------|----------------|
| Registration & Turnout | 93,961 | Voters | San Ardo Union Elem. Sch. Dist. (2yr) (c) | 1/1 | 100.00% |
| PP Turnout | 2,616 | 2.78% | - TIFFINI MOORE | 48 | 64.86% |
| MBP Turnout | 1,589 | 1.69% | | | |
| VBM Turnout | 13,292 | 14.15% | Total ... | 74 | 100.00% |
| Total ... | 17,497 | 18.62% | Soledad Unified School District | 6/6 | 100.00% |
| Monterey County Brd. of Edu., TA 4 | 21/21 | 100.00% | - GLORIA VASQUEZ LEDESMA | 320 | 12.93% |
| - RUBY NARANJO | 614 | 25.11% | - MARIE DUENAS BERLANGA | 361 | 14.59% |
| - PHILLIP G. TABERA | 883 | 36.11% | - FABIAN M. BARRERA | 358 | 14.47% |
| - JANET WOHLGEMUTH | 948 | 38.77% | - JOSEFINA PEREZ AGUILERA | 671 | 27.12% |
| Total ... | 2,445 | 100.00% | - JAVIER GALVAN | 764 | 30.88% |
| | | | Total ... | 2,474 | 100.00% |
| Hartnell Comm. College Dist., TA 1 | 26/26 | 100.00% | Mtry. Pen. Unif. School Dist., TA 3 | 13/13 | 100.00% |
| - DEMETRIO PRUNEDA | 1,080 | 38.82% | - DARRICK A.H. JORY | 503 | 18.48% |
| - MANUEL M. OSORIO | 1,702 | 61.18% | - JON HILL | 2,219 | 81.52% |
| Total ... | 2,782 | 100.00% | Total ... | 2,722 | 100.00% |
| Hartnell Comm. College Dist., TA 2 | 14/14 | 100.00% | North Mtry. Co. Unif. Sch. Dist., TA 5 | 3/3 | 100.00% |
| - BILL FREEMAN | 885 | 48.87% | - ELIZABETH G. SAMUELS | 391 | 68.96% |
| - AURELIO SALAZAR, JR. | 926 | 51.13% | - JENNIFER SKIDGEL-CLARKE | 176 | 31.04% |
| Total ... | 1,811 | 100.00% | Total ... | 567 | 100.00% |
| Hartnell Comm. College Dist., TA 4 | 11/11 | 100.00% | Salinas Un. High Sch. Dist., TA 1 (2yr) | 19/19 | 100.00% |
| - IRMA C. LOPEZ | 627 | 34.68% | - CARLOS LEON RUBIO | 750 | 51.33% |
| - DAVID GOMEZ SERENA | 1,181 | 65.32% | - JOHN FICKAS | 711 | 48.67% |
| Total ... | 1,808 | 100.00% | Total ... | 1,461 | 100.00% |
| Gonzales Unified School District | 8/8 | 100.00% | Salinas Union High School Dist., TA 2 | 12/12 | 100.00% |
| - YOLANDA JIMENEZ-ALMANZAR | 424 | 37.59% | - RAYMOND SCOTT BEASLEY | 506 | 29.49% |
| - SONIA P. JARAMILLO | 362 | 32.09% | - PATTY PADILLA-SALSBERG | 1,210 | 70.51% |
| - REBECCA "BECKI" RANGEL HADLEY | 342 | 30.32% | Total ... | 1,716 | 100.00% |
| Total ... | 1,128 | 100.00% | Salinas Union High School Dist., TA 4 | 7/7 | 100.00% |
| Greenfield Union School District (4yr) | 6/6 | 100.00% | - JOHN "TONY" VILLEGAS | 482 | 45.51% |
| - MAYRA PEREZ DIAZ | 448 | 25.15% | - KATHRYN RAMIREZ | 577 | 54.49% |
| - PAUL DAKE | 343 | 19.26% | Total ... | 1,059 | 100.00% |
| - YANELY MARTINEZ | 265 | 14.88% | Santa Rita Union School District | 15/15 | 100.00% |
| - SONIA MARTINEZ-HEREDIA | 396 | 22.23% | - NEIL PATEL | 658 | 27.35% |
| - LAURA CABALLERO | 329 | 18.47% | - ANGIE MORFIN VARGAS | 187 | 7.77% |
| Total ... | 1,781 | 100.00% | - SARAH TURNER | 561 | 23.32% |
| Greenfield Union School District (2yr) | 6/6 | 100.00% | - JON INGRAHAM | 268 | 11.14% |
| - BEATRIZ VALENZUELA DIAZ | 463 | 45.98% | - AIDEE FARIAS | 228 | 9.48% |
| - LETICIA MARTINEZ ARGUETA | 544 | 54.02% | - THOMAS J. SPENCER | 504 | 20.95% |
| Total ... | 1,007 | 100.00% | Total ... | 2,406 | 100.00% |
| San Ardo Union Elem. Sch. Dist. (2yr) | 1/1 | 100.00% | Spreckels Union School District | 10/10 | 100.00% |
| - WILLIAM S. LOPEZ | 26 | 35.14% | - STEVE MCDUGALL | 534 | 36.43% |
| | | | - CHRISTOPHER R. CUDA | 380 | 25.92% |

CITY/SCHOOL/SPECIAL DISTRICT ELECTION

November 3, 2015

Summary Report

COUNTY OF MONTEREY

Final Official Report

| | | | |
|--|----------------------|--|--------------------|
| Spreckels Union School District (cont'd.) | 10/10 100.00% | Spreckels Memorial District (cont'd...) | 2/2 100.00% |
| - PETER OPPENHEIM | 552 37.65% | - BILL KEMPLE | 47 11.01% |
| Total ... | 1,466 100.00% | Total ... | 427 100.00% |
| Alisal Union School District, TA 1 | 2/2 100.00% | Measure V - City of Greenfield | 3/3 100.00% |
| - FERNANDO MERCADO | 209 79.47% | YES | 681 69.70% |
| - ELVIN IBARRA | 54 20.53% | NO | 296 30.30% |
| Total ... | 263 100.00% | Total ... | 977 100.00% |
| Alisal Union School District, TA 4 | 5/5 100.00% | Measure W - City of Greenfield | 3/3 100.00% |
| - ADELLA LUJAN | 100 28.09% | YES | 588 60.56% |
| - ROGELIO PEREZ | 13 3.65% | NO | 383 39.44% |
| - GUADALUPE RUIZ GILPAS | 243 68.26% | Total ... | 971 100.00% |
| Total ... | 356 100.00% | | |
| Chualar Union Elem. School Dist, TA 3 | 1/1 100.00% | | |
| - ROSALBA M. MORENO | 28 35.44% | | |
| - JOEL G. AVILA | 51 64.56% | | |
| Total ... | 79 100.00% | | |
| Salinas City Elem. School Dist., TA 2 | 10/10 100.00% | | |
| - MANUEL P. RIVERA | 111 11.72% | | |
| - FRANCISCO JAVIER ESTRADA | 500 52.80% | | |
| - EVERETT P. SIVILS | 336 35.48% | | |
| Total ... | 947 100.00% | | |
| Salinas City Elem. School Dist., TA 4 | 9/9 100.00% | | |
| - JANET VIVIAN BARNES | 425 14.31% | | |
| - AMY A. ISH | 1,236 41.63% | | |
| - STACEY WILSON | 504 16.98% | | |
| - FRANK GARDEN | 804 27.08% | | |
| Total ... | 2,969 100.00% | | |
| Monterey Pen. Water Mgmt. Dist., Dv 3 | 8/8 100.00% | | |
| - MOLLY EVANS | 1,209 54.17% | | |
| - JEFFREY WESTON GORMAN | 1,023 45.83% | | |
| Total ... | 2,232 100.00% | | |
| Spreckels Community Services District | 1/1 100.00% | | |
| - CATHY MCDOUGALL | 128 43.24% | | |
| - RON EASTWOOD | 126 42.57% | | |
| - AMANDA R. ANTLE | 42 14.19% | | |
| Total ... | 296 100.00% | | |
| Spreckels Memorial District | 2/2 100.00% | | |
| - CATHY MCDOUGALL | 103 24.12% | | |
| - RON EASTWOOD | 107 25.06% | | |
| - LIZ WILLIAMS | 77 18.03% | | |
| - JILL KRAMM | 93 21.78% | | |