



EXHIBIT 23-E

**FINAL MINUTES
Policy Advisory Committee of the
Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
August 4, 2020**

Call to Order

The virtual meeting was called to order at 1:00 pm via WebEx.

Committee members present:

City of Carmel-by-the-Sea	Dave Potter
City of Del Rey Oaks	Patricia Lintell
City of Monterey	Tyller Williamson
City of Pacific Grove	Bill Peake
County of Monterey	Mary Adams
Monterey Peninsula Airport District	Mary Ann Leffel
MPWMD Board Chair	Alvin Edwards – Committee Chair, Non- Voting Member

Committee members absent:

City of Sand City	Mary Ann Carbone
City of Seaside	Ian Oglesby

Staff members present:

David J. Stoldt, General Manager
Stephanie Locke, Water Demand Division Manager
Arlene Tavani, Executive Assistant

District Counsel present:

David Laredo, De Lay & Laredo

Comments from the Public:

No comments.

Review of Committee Charge by General Manager Stoldt

Discussion Items

1. Water for Near-Term Housing Needs and Next Steps Forward to State Agencies

Stoldt reviewed information provided in the staff report and narrated a PowerPoint presentation that can be viewed on the District's website. The committee members' comments and Stoldt's responses to their questions are listed here. Stoldt noted that on page 6 of the committee packet, the reference to a California Coastal Commission hearing in August was incorrect, the meeting was scheduled for September 17, 2020. He stated that the District could request that the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) support lifting the restriction on setting new water meters for use of the new allocation. Only the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) could approve a change to the prohibition on setting new water meters, and it might do so at the request of the SWRCB. A committee member suggested that the District could also request that the CPUC allow a change of use at a site, such as commercial to residential in order to expand housing numbers. Stoldt advised that the 75 acre-feet could be allocated in a couple of ways: (a)

the District could distribute to each jurisdiction a portion of the proposed allocation and then determine how much is used over time; or (b) distribute the full allocation to each jurisdiction and if there is no study progress towards use of the water, it would be returned to the District for distribution to a jurisdiction in need. He reminded the committee that once a water supply project is approved, it is possible that water from the project could be allocated before the project is complete. Concern was expressed by some members of the committee that the amount of water proposed for allocation to a jurisdiction was lower than what was requested by the jurisdiction to meet its housing needs. It was also noted that the water should be identified for use on low, workforce, or moderate-income housing; it would not be appropriate to leave the term “housing” undefined and open to interpretation.

Adjourn – The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 pm.

U:\staff\Boardpacket\2020\20201214\InformationalItems\23\Item-23-Exh-E.docx