



**EXHIBIT 19-A**  
**FINAL MINUTES**  
**Technical Advisory Committee of the**  
**Monterey Peninsula Water Management District**  
**July 2, 2020**

**Call to Order**

The virtual meeting was called to order at 10:00 am via WebEx.

**Committee members present:**

City of Carmel-by-the-Sea	Marnie Waffle
City of Del Rey Oaks	Dino Pick
City of Monterey	Kimberly Cole
City of Sand City	Aaron Blair
City of Seaside	Kurt Overmeyer
Monterey Peninsula Airport District	Dan Johanson

**Committee members absent:**

City of Pacific Grove	Anastazia Aziz
County of Monterey	Carl Holm

**Staff members present:**

David J. Stoldt, General Manager  
Stephanie Locke, Water Demand Division Manager  
Arlene Tavani, Executive Assistant

**District Counsel present:**

David Laredo, De Lay & Laredo  
Heidi Quinn, De Lay & Laredo

**Comments from the Public:**

No comments.

**Review of Committee Charge by Stephanie Locke**

**Action Items**

**1. Elect Committee Chair and Vice Chair**

Pick offered a motion to elect Kimberly Cole as Committee Chair. The motion was approved on a vote of 5 – 0 by Waffle, Cole, Blair, Overmeyer and Pick. Johanson was not present for the vote. Aziz and Holm were absent from the meeting.

**Discussion Items**

**2. Discuss Responses from Jurisdictions on Near-Term Needs for Housing and Review Next Steps**

Locke and Stoldt reviewed information provided in the staff report and responded to questions. He also noted that Table 6 in the staff note was in error, the total was 70 acre-feet, not 75 acre-feet as shown. He explained that 5 acre-feet would be placed in the District Reserve, and a jurisdiction could request use of that water in the future. No objections to the plan were raised by the committee members. The following comments were expressed by the committee. (a) Support the proposed plan for requesting the State to release water for the jurisdictions. It could take five years to develop projects that could benefit from the water. (b) How did the District arrive on the

75 acre-feet allocation. Why not go bigger? The amount should be characterized as a contribution to satisfy the RHNA numbers, but not as an amount sufficient to meet housing targets. *Stoldt responded that 75 acre-feet seemed adequate for what the jurisdictions could realistically permit. The 75 acre-feet was developed by reviewing the difference between water use in 2009 and present water use. Approximately 2% of that number was 75 acre-feet; a de minimis and reasonable amount that would result in very minimal impact on the Carmel River.* (c) Support this proposal, the amount offered was very short of meeting the city's goals, but it was a substantial step forward. No public comment was directed to the committee.

**3. Update on Revisions to Second Bathroom Protocol**

Locke reported that the Board adopted Ordinance No. 185, effective June 18, 2020, that allowed a second bathroom to be added in a dwelling unit without a debit to the jurisdiction's allocation, if there were less than four dwelling units on the site. The second bathroom was previously permitted only in a single-family dwelling on a single-family residential site. Under the new ordinance, ADU's could be permitted without the need to permit the second bathroom that previously was added under the second bathroom protocol. Locke also advised the committee that during the moratorium on setting of new water meters in the California American Water system, there was no requirement to separately meter an ADU.

**Adjournment** – The meeting was adjourned at 10:40 am.

/s/ Arlene Tavani, Executive Assistant/Board Clerk  
Clerk to the MPWMD Technical Advisory Committee

Approved by the MPWMD Technical Advisory Committee on March 2, 2022  
Received by the MPWMD Board of Directors on March 21, 2022

U:\staff\Boardpacket\2022\20220321\Informational Items\19\Item-19-Exh-A.docx