Standard Checklist

Name	of Rij	parian	-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek	
Date:	May 24	, 2004	Segment/Reach ID: Reach 4, Bridge 509 PFC 304	
Miles	•	Elev	ration: 244 ft GPS: N 3 6 , 2 8 . 4 0 6 ' W 1 2 1 , 4 3 . 5 3 0	
			rs: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time: 11:30 am	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	2) Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			 Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region) 	
X			Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
	X		There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
	X		There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
	X		Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
	X		Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
	X		12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
	X		 Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy 	
	X		14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
	X		16) System is vertically stable	
X	1		17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the	

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:					
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown					
Trend for Functional—At Risk:					
Upward Downward Not Apparent					
Are factors contributing to unacceptable conditions outside the control of the manager?					
Yes No					
If yes, what are those factors?					
Flow regulations Mining act Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spec	oachment Oil field water discharge				

Remarks

Both banks are armored with concrete, downstream from bridge #509. There is a large sediment drop by the bridge that is not revegetating. The concrete abutments on both banks are being undercut. (The center beam of the bridge that runs perpendicular to the road is rotting). The vegetation is sparse, with no new recruits or grasses and Vinca major is helping to stabilize the bank. A twenty foot wide area of sandbags on the road side bank is being washed out. This reach is between bridge 509 and 510.

This reach was marked at risk because it has been in this condition for several years without significant further deterioration.

Checklist Comments

#5 There is an excess of sediment throughout the creek.

#9,11 There is a lack of vegetation and strong root systems to disperse a high energy flow.

#16 The system is not vertically stable on the left side heading downstream (opposite the road).