Standard Checklist

Name	e of R	iparia	n-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek		
Date	May 2	26, 2004	Segment/Reach ID: Reach 8, After Bridge 513 PFC 308		
Miles: Elevation			vation:GPS: N36, 28. 178' W121, 43. 454		
			ers: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time:		
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY		
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events		
		X	2) Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable		
\times			Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)		
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent		
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation		
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION		
\times			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)		
\times			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)		
\times			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics		
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events		
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor		
	\times		Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows		
\times			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)		
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION		
	X		Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy		
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation		
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity		
	X		16) System is vertically stable		
	\times		17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)		

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	X
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccepta of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining acti Channelization Road encro Augmented flows Other (spec	^



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

There are three large eroding and headcut hillsides that may be contributing to the large sediment piles found throughout the reach and on either side of Bridge 513 (See Pictures 2 and 4). The creek is also undercutting these three hillsides and exposing roots.

There were three spots where yard waste had been dumped.

Where vegetation can grow, it is diverse in both composition and age- class. There are some areas with a predominance of Vinca major

There are several buttressed walls that seem to be stable although one that lays at angle is becoming undercut (See Pictures 1 and 3).

This stretch ended at House #26 Southbank GPS N 36,28.088 W 121,43.425 Elevation 317 ft.

Checklist Comments

#5, 17 The eroding hillsides are contributing sediment and an excess of sediment has been found throughout the creek.

#11 While there is diverse vegetation there is not enough due the hillsides and buttressed banks to dissipate flows.

#13 There is not currently LWD, rocks or other channel characteristics to dissipate flow.

#16 The three eroding hillsides with undercut trees are not stable.



Picture 4