Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	parian	n-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek	
Date: June 7, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 9 house 26- bridge 516 PFC 309	
Miles: Elevation:			vation:GPS: N36, 28. 017' W121, 43. 409	
ID Te	am Ol	bserve	ers: Danica Zupic Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
155	110	1977		
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
	No.			
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
	X		13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
\times			16) System is vertically stable	
	X		17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)	

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	X
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccept of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spec	== *



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

This reach was between Bridge 515 and Bridge 516 There is a lot of sediment deposition throughout the reach. The vegetation present was diverse in age-class and distribution. There was seepage in this reach.

There are a few undercut trees at bends with exposed roots.

One house is built over the creek with a concrete bridge and buttressed walls. These are all stable and not undercut.

The next house also has a buttressed bank walls that are stable downstream but are eroding upstream. The owner has tried to further stabilize the bank with concrete rubble (See Picture 4).

There are piles of concrete rubble, and dirt next to the street and sliding down the bank into the creek (See Pictures 1, 2, 3, and 4).

There is a large sediment deposit just downstream of bridge 516 that continues downstream to the house with the eroding buttressed wall.

Checklist Comments

#5, 17 There is excess sediment throughout the creek, and a large pile of sediment after bridge 516.

#11 There seems to be enough vegetation to dissipate energy and stabilize unbuttressed banks.

#13 The buttressed walls and concrete bridges do not help dissipate energy.



Picture 4