Standard Checklist


Name	of R	ipariaı	n-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek		
Date: May 27, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 10 PFC 310		
Miles: Elevation: 369			vation: 369 GPS: N36, 27. 976 W121, 43. 427		
			ers: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time: 1:20 pm		
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY		
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events		
		X	2) Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable		
\boxtimes			 Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region) 		
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent		
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation		
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION		
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)		
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)		
\times			8) Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics		
\times			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events		
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor		
\times			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows		
\times			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)		
V	No	NIZA			
Yes	NO	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION		
X			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy		
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation		
X	\		15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity		
	X		16) System is vertically stable		
X			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)		

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	X
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccepts of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining act Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spec	



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

The vegetation is diverse in both age- class and composition. The area is wet from seepage and has a lot of algae growing in the creek bed (See Picture 3).

There are two upland hillsides that are eroding and contributing sediment to the reach but there is no excessive deposition (See Picture 1 and 2). There is evidence of some erosion throughout the creek bed where tree and vine roots have been partially exposed.

There are a few banks that have been stabilized with sandbags, however, the sandbags are deteriorating.

Yard waste has been dumped in three different sites in the creek (See Picture 2- yard debris).

A separate PFC was done for House #49 where a variety of problems inconsistent with the rest of the reach are found.

Reach ended at #54 Peacock crossing.

Checklist Comments

#5 The upland watershed is eroding at two spots and contributing sediment and there is an excess of sediment throughout the creek.

#16 The system is not stable where sandbags are degrading.