Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	parian	-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek	
Date: June 14, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 19 PFC 319	
Miles: Elevation: 589 ft.			vation: 589 ft. GPS: N36, 27. 521' W121, 43. 966	
ID Te	am Oł	oserve	ers: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			 Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region) 	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
X			5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
	T	T		
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
\boxtimes			Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
\boxtimes			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
X			16) System is vertically stable	
X	1		 Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition) 	

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccepta of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining action Channelization Road encro	achment Oil field water discharge

Remarks

This reach has the proper vegetation, bank stability, hydrology etc. for a functional rating. There is still a lot of sediment throughout, however the pointbars are revegetating, and it can be assumed that this amount of sediment is not from accelerated deposition but from the chronic low flows that have been experienced in the area for the past several years.

Ended reach at N36,27.495 W 121,44.000 Ele. 593 ft. at 105 Westside Dr.

Checklist Comments