## Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	pariar	n-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek	
Date: _	June 15	5, 2004	Segment/Reach ID: Reach 20 PFC 320	
Miles: Elevation: 593			vation: 593 GPS: N36, 27 495 W121, 44 000	
ID Te	am Ol	bserve	Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	2) Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation     (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil     moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
X			Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
	X		16) System is vertically stable	
X			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)	

## **Summary Determination**

runctional Kating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccepta of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	X
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining action Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spec	-



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

## Remarks

This reach was good for the first fifty feet the at -risk determination was made at House 111 Westside Dr.

The west bank is armored with a truck on its side and various other scrap metals. This is all covered with a wire fencing, however the truck is becoming undercut and some of the metal parts have already been pulled downstream (See Picture 1). Further up on the left bank there is a back hoe, a large clearing and fresh dirt piles 6-10 ft. high (See Picture 3). There is one dirt pile about 6 ft. high directly on the bank and starting to fall in.

There is also a bridge buttressed with a concrete base and bank walls.

There is also half of a large willow laying on the side of the roadapparently broken off, not sawed (See Picture 2).

There is also a very small creek running down from the uplands on the road side bank.

## **Checklist Comments**

#5 The upland here meaning the bank armored with truck and other scrap parts, and the sediment contribution from the dirt piles on the bank.

#3, 15 The sinuosity is naturally trying to move westward but the armored bank is preventing that for the time being.

#16 The system is not vertically stable for much longer where it is armored with the truck parts.