Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	ipariar	n-Wetland Area: Hitchcock Creek		
Date: June 15, 2004 Segment/Reach ID: Reach 21 - Kahn Ranch					
Miles: Elevation: 572 ft. GPS: N36, 27. 449 W121, 44. 187					
ID Te	am O	bserve	ers: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic Time:		
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY		
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events		
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable		
X			 Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region) 		
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent		
X			5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation		
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION		
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)		
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)		
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics		
\times			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events		
X	,		10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor		
\times			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows		
\times			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)		
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION		
\times			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy		
\times			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation		
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity		
	X		16) System is vertically stable		
\times			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)		

Summary Determination

runctional Rating.	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent Are factors contributing to unaccepta	ble conditions outside the control
of the manager?	
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining acti Channelization Road encro Augmented flows Other (spec	achment Oil field water discharge



Picture 1

Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

The beginning of the reach used to be grazing land and since has been cut back for fire hazards. The first 200 yards is very bare without many recruits, or large trees. Much of the creek here was buttressed with large concreted rocks many years ago and is still stable and functioning properly (See Picture 1). There are the remnants of old summer dams. One of the walls is starting to become undercut (See Picture 2).

There was plenty of LWD throughout the reach (See Picture 3). There a couple places where some hillsides were eroding and contributing some sediment to the creek, however, it was at a normal rate.

The reach stretched to Fern Falls N 36,27.465 W121,44.599, where the trail ended. Here there was plenty of vegetation and large trees.

Checklist Comments

#4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 The riparian area is not widening in the beginning of the reach where there are buttressed walls, and vegetation has been cut back from the previous years of cattle grazing and fire hazards today.

#16 The system is not vertically stable where the wall is becoming undercut.