## Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	parian	-Wetland Area: James Creek	
Date: July 5, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 4 (Drive-by)  PFC 501	
Miles		_ Elev	vation: 1569 ft. GPS: N36, 22. 838 W121, 35. 571	
ID Te	am Ol	bserve	rs: Clive Sanders, Danica Zupic, Ben Eichorn Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			<ol> <li>Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)</li> </ol>	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
X			Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
X			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
X			16) System is vertically stable	
			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the	

## **Summary Determination**

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccept of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining act Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spe	<u></u>

## Remarks

This reach was observed from the car. On four occasions small parts of the creek were assessed on foot from access paths from the road.

There was a jeep trail through the creek bed at stop 2.

There were many willows seen, however, there was also more genesta seen here than any other reach. The genesta present was growing directly on the creek bank next to the willows (Stop 2).

There was bulldozed soil on the eastern side of the county road (esp. at stop 3).

There were a few instances of minor undercutting on both banks.

Stop 1: N 36,22.838 W 121,35.571 Elev. 1569 ft.

Stop 2: N 36,23.020 W 121,35.644 Elev. 1502 ft.

Stop 3: N 36,23.158 W 121,35.769 Elev. 1442 ft.

Stop 4: Fork of Tassajara and Cachagua roads, bridge 532 N 36,23.449 W 121,35.709 Elev. 1369 ft.

There was minimal to no seepage observed.

## **Checklist Comments**

#5, 17 There were steep banks, and large piles of dirt being pushed to the sides of the county road, and frequently into the creek (esp. near stop 3).

#6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 There is a lot more riparian wetland vegetation present here, willows are seen, however, genesta, poison oak and berry vines are still common.