## Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	parian	-Wetland Area: Finch Creek	
یے:Date	August	3, 200	Segment/Reach ID: Reach 9 PFC 706	
Miles: Elevation: 1574			ration: 1574 GPS: N36, 22. 425' W121, 33. 686	
ID Te	am Ol	bserve	rs: Danica Zupic, Ben Eichorn Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	2) Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			<ol> <li>Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)</li> </ol>	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
X			5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil     moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
	T			
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
$\boxtimes$			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
X			16) System is vertically stable	
X			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)	

# **Summary Determination**

Functional Rating:				
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	X			
Trend for Functional—At Risk:				
Upward Downward Not Apparent	$\boxtimes$			
Are factors contributing to unacceptable conditions outside the control of the manager?				
Yes No				
If yes, what are those factors?				
Flow regulations Mining acti Channelization Road encro Augmented flows Other (spec	achment Oil field water discharge			



Picture 1



#### Picture 2



Picture 3

#### Remarks

This reach begins at county bridge 537.

At the beginning of the reach there is a stretch with good canopy recruits and grasses, and seepage is still present, however this stretch is relatively short (See Pictures 1 and 2).

There are lots of upland species, few recruits and several dead alders in the reach (See Picture 3).

There are two brief channels.

There are two possibly abandoned pipes in the creek, previously used for extracting water (See Pictures 4 and 5).

There is one tributary contributing significant sediment from an upland dirt slide.

Three dead yoy were observed in a pool of seepage (See Picture 6).

This reach ended at the entrance driveway to Hastings Natural History Preserve at GPS: N 36, 22.732 W 121, 33.858 Ele. 1520ft.

### **Checklist Comments**

#5, 16, 17 There is some erosion and sediment. There are a few dirt slides contributing sediment from eroding banks.

#7, 8, 11 There are a lot of upland species present and several dead alders observed. There are minimal recruits in the area.



Picture 4



Picture 5



Picture 6