Standard Checklist

Name	of Rij	parian	-Wetland Area: Finch Creek	
Date: July 27, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 3 PFC 712	
Miles: Elevation			vation: 2084 GPS: N 3 6 , 2 0 . 9 0 1 ' W 1 2 1 , 3 2 . 5 7 9	
			ers: Danica Zupic, Ben Eichorn Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)	
X			Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
X			5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
X			There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
<u> </u>	1	<u> Т</u>		
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
\times			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
X			16) System is vertically stable	
X			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the watershed (i.e., no excessive erosion or deposition)	

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:	
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown	
Trend for Functional—At Risk:	
Upward Downward Not Apparent	
Are factors contributing to unaccepts of the manager?	able conditions outside the control
Yes No	
If yes, what are those factors?	
Flow regulations Mining act Channelization Road encre Augmented flows Other (spec	oachment Oil field water discharge



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

This reach begins at bridge 546 on Carmel Valley Road.

There is a tributary creek entering the creek from the west, and a few cattle trails leading in and out of the creek.

There are a few undercut banks and one landslide off of the county road above, where an attempt at stabilizing with boulders has failed (See Picture 1).

There are numerous large oaks and willows throughout the reach. Healthy logjams are common. Although many point bars are revegetating only with upland species.

There is another tributary upland of the road that runs through a field that may be a sediment contributor (See Picture 2). There are a couple of pools in the reach where up to 30 yoy were observed.

This reach ended at mile marker 28.5 on Carmel Valley Road, and at GPS: N36, 21.050, W121, 32.585, elevation 2043ft.

Checklist Comments

6,7 Willows, berry vines and poison oak are the most prominent species in the reach.

#14 Point bars are revegetating with mostly upland species.

#16 The system is vertically stable except for places where cattle impact and road encroachment are occurring, and where there is undercutting.