Standard Checklist

Name	of Ri	parian	-Wetland Area: Finch Creek	
Date: July 27, 2004			Segment/Reach ID: Reach 2 PFC 713	
Miles:	, !	_ Elev	vation: 2147ft GPS: N36, 20. 450 W121, 32. 350	
ID Te	am Ot	serve	Danica Zupic, Ben Eichorn Time:	
Yes	No	N/A	HYDROLOGY	
X			Floodplain above bankfull is inundated in "relatively frequent" events	
		X	Where beaver dams are present they are active and stable	
X			Sinuosity, width/depth ratio, and gradient are in balance with the landscape setting (i.e., landform, geology, and bioclimatic region)	
X			4) Riparian-wetland area is widening or has achieved potential extent	
	X		5) Upland watershed is not contributing to riparian-wetland degradation	
Yes	No	N/A	VEGETATION	
X			There is diverse age-class distribution of riparian-wetland vegetation (recruitment for maintenance/recovery)	
	X		There is diverse composition of riparian-wetland vegetation (for maintenance/recovery)	
X			Species present indicate maintenance of riparian-wetland soil moisture characteristics	
X			9) Streambank Vegetation is comprised of those plants or plant communities that have root masses capable of withstanding high-streamflow events	
X			10) Riparian-wetland plants exhibit high vigor	
X			Adequate riparian-wetland vegetative cover is present to protect banks and dissipate energy during high flows	
X			12) Plant communities are an adequate source of coarse and/or large woody material (for maintenance/recovery)	
<u> </u>	Т—			
Yes	No	N/A	EROSION/DEPOSITION	
X			13) Floodplain and channel characteristics (i.e., rocks, overflow channels, coarse and/or large woody material) are adequate to dissipate energy	
X			14) Point bars are revegetating with riparian-wetland vegetation	
X			15) Lateral stream movement is associated with natural sinuosity	
	X		16) System is vertically stable	
			17) Stream is in balance with the water and sediment being supplied by the	

Summary Determination

Functional Rating:					
Proper Functioning Condition Functional—At Risk Nonfunctional Unknown					
Trend for Functional—At Risk:					
Upward Downward Not Apparent					
Are factors contributing to unacceptable conditions outside the control of the manager?					
Yes No					
If yes, what are those factors?					
Flow regulations Channelization Augmented flows Other (special	oachment Oil field water discharge				



Picture 1



Picture 2



Picture 3

Remarks

This reach begins at GPS: N36, 20.269, W121, 32.113.

This reach had one tributary entering on the eastern bank.

There were multiple log jams, one of particular interest due to its size (See Pictures 1 and 2).

The vegetation in this reach was predominated by mature willows and oaks with little other riparian wetland vegetation. One section in particular was predominated heavily by willows of all sizes, some of which formed a very thick canopy over about 100 yards of the creek (See Picture 3). Some riparian grasses were observed on the floodplain immediately upstream of this stretch. In another area, 10 madrone recruits were witnessed growing in the creek bed. (See Picture 4).

Throughout the reach, intermittent cattle trails are eroding and contributing sediment to the creek.

This reach ended at bridge 546 on Carmel Valley Road, GPS: N36, 20.901, W121, 32.579, elevation 2084ft.

Checklist Comments

#5,17 Cattle trails and dirt farm roads are contributing to wetland degradation in the form of prevalent eroding banks.

#6,7 Willows are the only species demonstrating a diverse age-class distribution, although even this species has few recruits in the reach. There are almost no other riparian species in this reach aside from a few well-established alders and sycamores.

#9,11,14 There are many bare banks caused by cattle impact. The minimal willow recruits were the only riparian species revegetating on the point bars.



Picture 4